# **Comparative evaluation of genetic relationships among ber (Ziziphus sp.) genotypes using RAPD and ISSR markers**

**A. K. Singh1 \*, Rakesh Singh<sup>3</sup> and N. K. Singh<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Division of Fruits and Horticultural Technology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110 012 <sup>2</sup>Rice Genome Project, National Research Center on Plant Biotechnology 3 National Research Centre on DNA Fingerprinting, NBPGR, New Delhi 110 012

(Received: September 2008; Revised: January 2009; Accepted: February 2009)

# **Abstract**

**Genetic diversity among 48 ber genotypes from different eco-geographical regions of India was investigated using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and intersimple sequence repeat (ISSR) markers. Forty-six RAPD and 18 ISSR primers generated a total of 368 and 167 amplification products of which 271 (74.15%) and 152 (89.94%) were polymorphic, respectively. The average numbers of polymorphic fragments were 5.89 (RAPD) and 8.44 (ISSR) per primer with number of amplified bands ranging between 2 to 17 and 4 to 12 for RAPD and ISSR respectively. RAPD primer OPD-3 (9.00 Rp and 0.29 PIC) and ISSR primer UBC-880 (5.63 Rp and 0.29 PIC) were the most informative primers. Out of the 18 ISSR primers 14 were anchored, of which 12 were 3'-anchored and 2 were 5'-anchored. Fourteen ISSR primers produced distinct bands contained dinucleotide repeats. Primers based on** (AC)<sub>n</sub> and (AG)<sub>n</sub> repeats produced the most polymorphic **bands. Based on mantel matrix correlation between two marker systems it is suggested that the two markers amplify different genomic regions. The genetic similarity among 48 ber genotypes ranged from 47.62% (Thornless and Seov) to 88.97% (Narma and Banarsi Karaka) that suggested a wide genetic base for the ber germplasm collection. The study suggests superiority of ISSR marker system over RAPD for studying genetic diversity in ber.**

**Key words:** Genetic diversity, Ziziphus, ber, RAPD, ISSR

#### **Introduction**

The genus Ziziphus is comprised of about 100 species of deciduous or evergreen trees and shrubs distributed in the tropical and subtropical regions of the world [1]. South and Southeast Asia is the centre of both evolution and distribution of the genus Ziziphus [2]. The Indian ber, Ziziphus mauritiana Lam, commonly known as ber, a beautiful evergreen tree, is a dominant component of

\*Corresponding author's e-mail: aksingh36@yahoo.com

the natural vegetation in the Indian desert. The tree is endowed with a high degree of edaphic and climatic adaptability and can grow in different kind of soil types including the sub marginal lands. Ziziphus species are potentially important in preventing soil erosion and desertification and has therefore, been planted in India and several other countries [3]. However, indiscriminate felling of ber trees in the last 50 years has caused serious state of deterioration in their genetic resources. Ziziphus species are distributed between latitude 34 $\mathrm{°S}$  and 51 $\mathrm{°}$ N, up to 2,800 m a.m.s.l. The wide geographical and climatic distribution is indicative of a tremendous genetic diversity in ber, which needs to be identified and catalogued. The fruits of Indian ber have higher contents of protein, minerals and vitamins A and C than apples and citrus [4]. Because of high nutritional value, it has a great commercial importance. Ziziphus plants are highly cross-pollinated and as a result, the natural population exhibits a wide range of genetic variation. The commercial varieties of ber have been evolved through selection of promising types from this wide spread natural variability followed by budding on suitable rootstocks. A large number of methodologies exist for the assessment of genetic diversity in plant species. A combination of morphological traits and protein profiling methods such as isozymes [5], allozymes [6] and seed storage proteins [7] has conventionally been applied. However, such traits are influenced by environmental factors as well as the developmental stage of the plants. Hence, the result elucidated based on such studies do not provide a true measure of the genetic diversity. Long juvenile phase of Ziziphus (up to 7 years) would make DNA markers an extremely useful tool for the

identification of cultivars during propagation and growth. Molecular markers based on polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method offer several advantages over the sole use of conventional morphological markers. The PCR technology has led to the development of two simple and quick techniques viz., random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and inter-simple sequence repeats (ISSR). The former detects nucleotide sequence polymorphism with a primer of arbitrary nucleotide sequence [8] and the latter permits detection of polymorphism in intermicrosatellite loci with primer designed from dinucleotide or trinucleotide simple sequence repeats [9]. Both the techniques are inexpensive and readily adaptable for routine germplasm fingerprinting and evaluation of genetic relationship between accessions or genotypes and or construction of genetic linkage maps [10]. Although genetic diversity analysis and cultivar identification by RAPD and ISSR markers have been performed in many fruit crops [11], its application in Ziziphus species and its relatives (except Z. celata) has not been carried out [12]. AFLP has been used to document genetic diversity in Ziziphus mauritiana and Z. nummularia [13] but this technique either requires automation or long cumbersome procedure and also not very cost effective, whereas, RAPD and ISSR markers are easily available, relatively simpler and commonly used in all the laboratories. The genotypes of ber used in the present study represent only a subset of the existing natural variation in the species. We report here the genetic diversity assessed using RAPD and ISSR markers with the comparison of the two marker systems to evaluate the genetic relationship among the 47 cultivars belonging to Z. mauritiana and one accession of Z. nummularia

# **Material and methods**

#### **Plant materials**

Plant material used for this study consisted 48 accessions of Ziziphus representing Z. mauritiana and Z. nummularia. The material had been collected from different states of India (Table 1) and maintained in the orchard of Division of Fruits and Horticultural Technology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India.

# **DNA extraction**

Young leaves were collected from a single tree of each accession and genomic DNA was extracted using the cetyl-trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) method with some modifications [14]. DNA was quantified in a TKO 100 Fluorometer (Hoefer, San Fransisco, CA).

# **RAPD and ISSR analysis**

Forty-six RAPD primers from Operon Technology (Alameda, California, USA) and eighteen ISSR primers (15-23 mer oligo-nucleotides) from University of British Columbia (UBC), Canada were used for this study. For both the marker systems, PCR amplification was performed in a 25µl reaction volume, containing 1X reaction buffer (10mM Tris HCl pH 8), 1.5mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 200µM of each dNTP, 1 unit of Taq polymerase, 30µM of primer and approximately 25ng genomic DNA template. The thermocycler (Perkin Elmer 480) was programmed for one initial step of 5 min at  $94^{\circ}$ C followed by 45 cycles (35 cycles for ISSR) of 1 min at  $94^{\circ}$ C, 1 min at  $37^{\circ}$ C (52 $^{\circ}$ C for ISSR) and 2 min at  $72^{\circ}$ C. A final extension step at 72º C was programmed for 7 minutes. Amplification products were separated on 1.4% agarose gels prepared in 1 x TAE buffer (40 mM Tris-acetate and 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0) at 60 V for 3 h using a horizontal gel electrophoresis system (Bio-rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Gels were stained with EtBr. A 100 bp DNA ladder mix (MBI, Fermentas, Burlington, Ontario, Canada) was run alongside the amplified product to determine their approximate size. The amplified fragments were visualized under ultraviolet light and photographed with gel documentation Flourchem™ 5500.

#### **Data analysis**

Reproducible amplified fragments of RAPD and ISSR (i.e. those bands present in both repetitions of each sample) were scored manually. Weak bands of negligible intensity and smeared bands were excluded from the final data analysis. Band profiles were scored with 1 indicating the presence and 0 indicating the absence of a band to construct a binary qualitative data matrix. Pair-wise comparisons of genotypes were employed to calculate Jaccard's similarity coefficient (GS):

 $a / (n-d)$ , where  $a = number of positive matches; d$  $=$  number of negative matches and  $n =$  total sample size [15].

A dendrogram was constructed using the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages (UPGMA) and computation for multivariate analysis was done using the computer programme NTSYS-pc Version 2.0 [16]. The correlation between RAPD and ISSR binary matrix was tested through Mantel matrix correspondence test with 100 random permutations.

The ability of a primer or technique to distinguish between large numbers of genotypes, i.e. Resolving

No. of genotypes	Accessions	<b>Species</b>	Main areas of cultivation
13	Sindhura, Seb, Vilayati, Sindhura Narwal, Kala Gola, Bawal Selection-1, Bawal Sel -2, Zg-3, Ilaichi Jhajhar, Rohtak Safeda, Kaithali, Sua, Govind Garh Selection	Z. mauritiana Lam.	Haryana
10	Katha, Sanauri, Chonchal, Pownda, Umaran, Lakhan, Pathan, Sanauri-3, Noki, Reshmi	Z. mauritiana Lam.	Punjab
9	Ilaichi, Muria Mehrara, Thornless, Banarasi Kadaka, Tass Bataso, Kishmish, Jogia, Hesang Tsaon, Kheera	Z. mauritiana Lam.	Uttar Pradesh
6	Desi Alwar, Nazuk, Bagwadi, Tikadi, Dandan, Katha Rajasthan	Z. mauritiana Lam.	Rajasthan
2	Narma, Popular Gola	Z. mauritiana Lam.	Delhi
	Katha Bombay	Z. mauritiana Lam.	Gujarat
	Gola	Z. mauritiana Lam.	Rajasthan / Haryana
	Akhrota	Z. mauritiana Lam.	Punjab/Haryana
	Chhuhara	Z. mauritiana Lam.	Rajasthan/Punjab
	No-A	Z. mauritiana Lam.	Haryana/Gujrat
	Kathi	Z. mauritiana Lam.	Punjab/Gujrat/Rajsthan
	Wild collection	Z. mauritiana Lam.	Delhi
	Z. nummularia Collection 1	Z. nummularia Lam.	Wild

**Table 1.** Ber genotypes used for genetic relationship study through RAPD and ISSR markers

Power of the primer (Rp) of RAPD and ISSR primers were determined as described by Prevost and Wilkinson (1999). The Polymorphism Information Content (PIC) expresses the discriminating power of the locus taking into account not only the number of alleles that are expressed, but also their relative frequencies and frequency of alleles per locus, expressed as: PIC = 1- Σp $_i^2$  as suggested by Lynch and Walsh [17], where p $_i$  is the frequency of *i*th (presence) allele.

#### **Results and discussion**

Conservation of band profile for cultivars and species when replicate genomic DNA samples were extracted from different trees of the same varieties demonstrate the reproducibility of the technique. Out of 120 RAPD and 40 ISSR primers initially screened, 46 RAPD primers and 18 ISSR primers that showed a clear and reproducible band pattern were chosen for further study. Details regarding the polymorphic primers, the number and size of the polymorphic fragments revealed by each primer are presented for RAPD (Table 2) and ISSR (Table 3).

A total of 368 bands were amplified with 46

selected RAPD polymorphic primers (8 bands per primer), of which 271 (74.15%) were polymorphic (Fig. 1a) with an average of 5.89 band per primer ranging between 2 (OPF-9) to 14 (OPD-7 and OPF-2). Nine RAPD primers showed 100% polymorphism, whereas, OPD-20 (28.57%) was least polymorphic. Large genetic diversity (69.8% polymorphism) has been reported in other woody perennial species [18]. The resolving power (Rp) of the 46 RAPD primers ranged from 0.46 (OPE-4) to 9.00 (OPD-3) with an average of 3.06 per primer. RAPD primers viz., OPC-15, OPF-8, OPD-11 and OPE-6 possess high Rp values of 5.92, 5.75, 5.42 and 5.54 respectively (Table 2). The primers with the high Rp values were more informative as they were able to distinguish more number of ber genotypes. Polymorphism information content (for RAPD) ranged from 0.05 (OPC-11) to 0.41 (OPE-20) with an average of value of 0.25 per primer.

ISSR primers amplified a total of 167 scorable fragments (9.28 bands per primer) ranging between 4 (UBC-856) to 12 (UBC-829 and UBC-894) fragments (Fig. 1b). One hundred and fifty two fragments (89.94%) with an average of 8.44 per primer were polymorphic.

S.No.	Primer designation	Sequence	<b>TNB</b>	<b>NPB</b>	$P\%$	Rp	<b>PIC</b>
$\mathbf{1}$	OPC-4	5'-CCGCATCTAC-3'	$\,6$	4	66.67	3.00	0.29
$\overline{2}$	OPC-3	5'-GGGGGTCTTT-3'	9	6	66.67	3.29	0.20
3	OPC-6	5'-GAACGGACTC-3'	8	$\overline{7}$	87.50	3.67	0.34
4	OPC-7	5'-GTCCCGACGA-3'	$\overline{7}$	$\,$ 5 $\,$	71.43	2.33	0.24
$\,$ 5 $\,$	OPC-13	5'-AAGCCTCGTC-3'	5	$\,$ 5 $\,$	100.00	2.75	0.37
6	OPC-14	5'-TGCGTGCTTG-3'	6	$\,6$	100.00	1.50	0.27
7	OPC-2	5'-GTGAGGCGTC-3'	8	3	37.50	1.04	0.10
$\,8\,$	OPC-20	5'-ACTTCGCCAC-3'	6	4	66.67	1.83	0.19
9	OPC-8	5'-TGGACCGGTG-3'	$\overline{7}$	4	57.14	3.08	0.19
10	OPC-9	5'-CTCACCGTCC-3'	$\mathsf 3$	$\ensuremath{\mathsf{3}}$	100.00	0.58	0.28
11	OPC-11	5'-AAAGCTGCGG-3'	10	3	30.00	1.21	0.05
12	OPC-18	5'-TGAGTGGGTG-3'	10	$\,6$	60.00	3.50	0.25
13	OPC-16	5'-CACACTCCAG-3'	8	4	50.00	2.75	0.19
14	OPC-15	5'-GACGGATCAG-3'	11	9	81.82	5.92	0.24
15	OPC-10	5'-TGTCTGGGTG-3'	6	3	50.00	2.21	0.21
16	OPD-12	5'-CACCGTATCC-3'	6	$\,6$	100.00	1.96	0.31
17	OPF-1	5'-ACGGATCCTG-3'	11	$\,6\,$	54.55	4.67	0.21
18	OPD-11	5'-AGCGCCATTG-3'	12	10	83.33	5.42	0.29
19	OPD-3	5'-GTCGCCGTCA-3'	17	15	88.24	9.00	0.29
20	OPF-2	5'-GAGGATCCCT-3'	14	11	78.57	3.75	0.14
21	OPE-2	5'-GGTGCGGGAA-3'	10	$\overline{7}$	70.00	3.29	0.20
22	<b>OPF-11</b>	5'-TTGGTACCCC-3'	12	9	75.00	3.83	0.17
23	OPD-8	5'-GTGTGCCCCA-3'	$\overline{7}$	$\,6$	85.71	3.08	0.28
24	OPF-8	5'-GGGATATCGG-3'	11	11	100.00	5.75	0.35
25	OPF-7	5'-CCGATATCCC-3'	6	$\,6\,$	100.00	2.42	0.33
26	OPF-6	5'-GGGAATTCGG-3'	7	5	71.43	2.79	0.22
27	OPF-16	5'-GGAGTACTGG-3'	$\overline{7}$	5	71.43	4.17	0.30
28	OPF-4	5'-GGTGATCAGG-3'	$\overline{7}$	5	71.43	1.50	0.27
29	OP F-3	5'-CCTGATCACC-3'	9	$\overline{7}$	77.78	4.04	0.28
30	<b>OPE-14</b>	5'-TGCGGCTGAG-3'	8	6	75.00	3.00	0.20
31	OPD-4	5'-TCTGGTGAGG-3'	10	$\boldsymbol{9}$	90.00	4.54	0.29
32	<b>OPE-11</b>	5'-GAGTCTCAGG-3'	5	5	100.00	1.92	0.38
33	OPE-13	5'-CCCGATTCGG-3'	8	7	87.50	3.67	0.24
34	<b>OPE-20</b>	5'-AACGGTGACC-3'	8	8	100.00	3.75	0.41
35	<b>OPE-19</b>	5'-ACGGCGTATG-3'	8	$\,6$	75.00	4.33	0.32
36	OPE-4	5'-GTGACATGCC-3'	$\,6\,$	3	50.00	0.46	0.08
37	OPD-7	5'-TTGGCACGGG-3'	14	6	42.86	3.33	0.14
38	OPD-20	5'-ACCCGGTCAC-3'	$\overline{7}$	$\mathbf{2}$	28.57	0.92	0.13
39	OPE-6	5'-AAGACCCCTC-3'	9	8	88.89	5.54	0.36
40	OPE-9	5'-CTTCACCCGA-3'	4	$\overline{4}$	100.00	2.83	0.33
41	<b>OPF-15</b>	5'-CCAGTACTCC-3'	10	$\boldsymbol{9}$	90.00	3.96	0.28
42	<b>OPF-13</b>	5'-GGCTGCAGAA-3'	5	4	80.00	1.79	0.32
43	OPF-12	5'-ACGGTACCAG-3'	9	6	66.67	2.21	0.16
44	OPF-10	5'-GGAAGCTTGG-3'	6	4	66.67	2.13	0.19
45	OPE-7	5'-AGATGCAGCC-3'	3	$\overline{c}$	66.67	0.92	0.28
46	OPF-9	5'-CCAAGCTTCC-3'	$\overline{c}$	$\mathbf{1}$	50.00	0.96	0.20
	Total		368	271	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	۰.
	Average		$\,8\,$	5.89	74.15	3.06	0.25

**Table 2.** RAPD primers with sequences and the properties of amplified products in ber genotypes

\*TNB = Total number of bands; NPB = Number of polymorphic bands; P% = Polymorphism percentage; Rp = Resolving power; PIC = Polymorphic information content

**Downloaded From IP - 61.247.228.217 on dated 27-Jun-2017**

SI. No.	UBC <sup>1</sup> Primer	Sequence $(5' - 3')$	<b>TNB</b>	<b>NPB</b>	P%	<b>Rp</b>	<b>PIC</b>
$\mathbf{1}$	808	AGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGC	11	9	81.8	4.04	0.33
2	809	AGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGG	11	10	90.9	4.75	0.42
3	814	<b>CTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTA</b>	10	10	100.0	4.54	0.41
4	825	ACACACACACACACACT	9	6	66.6	2.34	0.31
5	829	<b>TGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGC</b>	12	10	83.3	4.21	0.26
6	840	GAGAGAGAGAGAGAGACTT	10	10	100.0	2.5	0.27
7	841	<b>GAGAGAGAGAGAGAGACTC</b>	10	10	100.0	5.29	0.41
8	848	CACACACACACACACAAGG	$\overline{7}$	$\overline{7}$	100.0	3.67	0.42
9	850	<b>GTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTCTC</b>	8	8	100.0	4.71	0.36
10	854	<b>TCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCAGG</b>	9	$9\,$	100.0	2.50	0.35
11	855	ACACACACACACACACCTT	10	9	90.0	3.79	0.30
12	856	<b>ACACACACACACACACCTA</b>	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$	100.0	3.67	0.28
13	876	<b>GATAGATAGACAGACA</b>	9	9	100.0	3.71	0.43
14	880	GGAGAGGAGAGGAGA	9	7	77.8	5.63	0.29
15	889	AGTCGTAGTACACACACACACAC	$\overline{7}$	$\overline{4}$	57.1	2.04	0.27
16	890	ACGACTACGGTGTGTGTTTGTGT	9	9	100.0	1.00	0.43
17	894	TGGTAGCTCTTGTCAGGCAC	12	11	91.6	5.17	0.37
18	900	ACTTCCCCACAGGTTAACACA	10	10	80.0	2.63	0.30
	Total		167	152			
	Average		9.28	8.44	89.94	3.68	0.34

**Table 3.** ISSR primers with sequences and the properties of amplified products in ber genotypes

\*TNB = Total number of bands; NPB = Number of polymorphic bands; P% = Polymorphism percentage; Rp = Resolving power;  $\text{PIC} = \text{Polymorphic information content}$  (1-University of British, Columbia)

Nine ISSR primers showed 100% polymorphism, whereas, UBC-889 (57.1%) was least polymorphic. Out of the 18 selected primers 14 were anchored, of which 12 were 3'-anchored and 2 were 5'-anchored. Primers that produced polymorphic bands (14 primers out of 18) contained dinucleotide repeats. Primers based on (AC)<sub>n</sub> and (AG)<sub>n</sub> repeats produced more number of bands. The Rp values ranged from 1.0 (UBC-890) to 5.63 (UBC-880). The other primers with high Rp values were UBC-841 (5.29) and UBC-894 (5.17). The primers with high Rp values (Table 3) are able to distinguish most of the ber genotype. Polymorphism information content (PIC) ranged from 0.26 (UBC-829) to 0.43 (UBC-876 & 890).

The Mantel matrix correlation value  $(r) = 0.4196$ (between RAPD and ISSR) suggests a poor correlation between the two marker systems. Negative correlations have been reported between RAPD and ISSR in Indian cashew [19]. The comparison between the two marker systems suggest that the ISSR markers are more efficient because of their capacity to reveal several informative bands in a single amplification (a mean of 8.44 informative bands per primers) as compared to 5.89 for RAPD and higher Rp (3.68 vs. 3.06) than RAPD primers (Fig. 2).

As the two markers differed for 58.04% of the amplified loci, present study was extended to the combined analysis of the RAPD and ISSR binary matrix data. A considerable genetic diversity was observed among ber genotypes ranging from 47.62% (between Thornless and Seov) to 88.97% (Narma and Banarsi Karaka). Such a wide range in similarity coefficient values suggests that the ber germplasm collection is genetically diverse. One of the major contributing factors to the high degree of genetic diversity observed in ber may be due to its evolutionary status as an outcrossing species. Similar observations have been made in coconut, neem [20] and ber [13] where the level of genetic diversity was shown to correlate with the breeding nature of the plants. The cluster dendrogram analysis revealed two major clusters, cluster I comprised of two genotypes, Thornless and Tikadi (63.72%), whereas, remaining 45 genotypes of Z. mauritiana and







**Fig. 1. (a) RAPD profiles of 24 ber genotypes generated with primer OPF-3. M: 100bp DNA ladder, Lanes 1-24: correspond to 24 of the 48 ber genotypes; (b) ISSR profiles of 24 ber genotypes generated with primer UBC-855. M: 100bp DNA ladder, Lanes 1-24: correspond to 24 of the 48 ber genotypes**



**Fig. 2. Comparison between RAPD and ISSR marker systems**

Downloaded From IP - 61.247.228.217 on dated 27-Jun-2017 **Downloaded From IP - 61.247.228.217 on dated 27-Jun-2017** WWW.IndianJournals.com<br>Members Copy, Not for Commercial Sale  **Members Copy, Not for Commercial Sale www.IndianJournals.com** 

a single accession of  $Z$ . nummularia grouped into Cluster-II (Fig. 3). Cluster-II was further sub-grouped into 5 subclusters (II-A to II-E) at different similarity levels (Fig. 3). In subcluster II-C the wild accession of Z. nummularia grouped with Bagwadi and Chonchal. In our earlier study on AFLP analysis of ber [13], we have reported grouping of all the wild accessions of Z. nummularia together into a different sub-cluster away from Z. mauritiana. This is because AFLP is more robust marker system and amplified approximately twice the loci (952 loci) than the present analysis (535 loci). The present study using RAPD and ISSR markers reliably distinguishes morphologically similar genotypes e.g. based on morphological traits Katha, Katha Bombay, Katha Rajasthan and Umran have been reported to be different names of the same genotype in different regions [21]. However, in the present investigation we found that these four genotypes were genetically different thus shared different clusters. Similarly, Chuhara (at Bahadurgarh) and Reshmi (at Hissar) which are reported [22] to be exactly similar, grouped into different subclusters IV and III-A, respectively and shared 58.82% genetic similarity. The genotypes Gola, Akhrota, Nazuk and Seb were earlier reported to be different morphologically although with insignificant

differences but in the present study the earlier three genotypes grouped together in subcluster II-D, whereas, Seb shared 67.09% genetic similarity with the genotype Chhuhara**.** The genotypes Kala Gola, Popular Gola and Gola that are characteristically round in shape fell into different subclusters of cluster II sharing 72.35% genetic similarity between Kala Gola and Gola (both grouped in subcluster II-D), 69.12% between Popular Gola and Gola and 70.60% between Kala Gola and Popular Gola. The groupings of the cultivars did not, however, correspond to their eco-geographical regions. For instance, cultivars from Rajsthan, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh and Haryana remained together. This may be explained by the fact that human interventions have played a major role in varietal distribution of ber followed by crosspollination between local and introduced materials. Similar groupings of samples in one cluster collected from different sub-zones have been reported in neem [18, 23].

The present study revealed that the phenotypically similar genotypes could be reliably distinguished genetically using RAPD and ISSR markers. The study further displayed a broad genetic base in Ziziphus species. The above findings suggests the breeders to



**Fig. 3. Dendrogram generated through UPGMA cluster analysis for combined matrix data of 46 RAPD and 18 ISSR primers showing genetic relationships among the 48 ber genotypes. Names of the genotypes are given on the termini of branches**

assess the parents for genetic improvement and taxonomists to review and resolve the mis-nomination of ber genotypes based not only on morphology but also molecular markers. The molecular profiling carried out in the present study could be utilized for germplasm conservation, authentication, purification and identification of genotypes. It is advocated that DNA markers such as ISSR and RAPDs could also be used effectively for genetic diversity analysis in ber.

# **Acknowledgements**

This work was carried out at the Division of Fruits and Horticultural Technology, Indian Agricultural research Institute, New Delhi with financial support from National Agricultural Technology Project, funded by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.

# **References**

- 1. **Johnston M. C.** 1963. The species of Ziziphus indigenous to United States and Mexico. Am. Jour. Bot., **50**: 1020-1027.
- 2. **Liu M. J. and Cheng C. Y.** 1995. A taxonomic study of the genus Ziziphus. Acta Horticulturae, **390**: 161- 165.
- 3. **Pareek O. P., Hughes A., Haq N. and Smith R. W.** (eds.) Fruits for the future 2: Ber. Published by International Centre for Underutilized Crops, 2001, pp 34-93.
- 4. **Khoshoo T. N., Subrahmanyam G. V.** 1985. Ecodevelopment of arid lands in India with nonagricultural economic plants – a holistic approach. In: Wickens G. E., Goodin J. R. and Field D. V. (eds.). Plants for arid lands, Unwin Hyman, London.
- 5. **Nevo E., Beiles E. and Zoharry D.** 1986. Genetic resources of wild barley in the near East: structure, evolution and application in breeding. Biol. J. Linn. Soc., **27**: 355-380.
- 6. **May B.** 1992. Starch electrophoresis of allozymes. In: Hoelzel A. R. (ed.). Molecular genetic analysis of populations: a practical approach. IRL Press, Oxford, pp. 1-27.
- 7. **Doll H., Brown A. H. D.** 1979. Hordein variation in wild (Hordeum spontaneum) and cultivated (Hordeum vulgare) barley. Can. J. Genet. Cytol., **21**: 91-404.
- 8. **Williams J. G. K., Kubelik A. R., Livak K. J., Rafalski J. A. and Tingey S. V.** 1990. DNA polymorphism amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers. Nucl. Acid Res., **18**: 6531-6535.
- 9. **Wu K. R., Jones R., Danneberg L. and Scolnik P. A.** 1994. Detection of microsatellite polymorphism without cloning. Nucleic Acids Res., **22**: 3257-3258.
- 10. **Lu Z. X., Sosinski B. and Reighard G. L.** 1998. Baired WV & Abbot AG, Genome, **431**: 199.
- 11. **Ricciardi L., Giorgio V., Givoanni C., Lotti C., Gallotta A. and Fanizza G.** 2002. The genetic diversity of apulian apricot genotypes (Prunus armeniaca L.) assessed using AFLP markers. Cellular and Mol Biol Letters, **7**: 431-436.
- 12. **Weekly C. W., Kubisiak T. L. and Race T. M.** 2002. Genetic impoverishment and cross incompatibility in remnant genotypes of Ziziphus celata (Rhamnaceae), a rare shrub endemic to the Lake Wales Ridge, Florida. Biodiversity and Conservation, **11**: 2027- 2046.
- 13. **Singh A. K., Sharma R., Singh N. K., Bansal K. C., Koundal K. R. and Mohapatra T.** 2006. Genetic diversity in ber (Ziziphus spp.) revealed by AFLP markers. J. Hort. Sci. Biotech., **81**: 205-210.
- 14. **Murray M. G. and Thompson W. F.** 1980. Rapid isolation of high molecular weight plant DNA. Nucleic Acids Res., **8**: 4321-3425.
- 15. **Jaccards P.** 1908. Nouvelles rocherches sur la distribution florte. Bull. Soc. vaud. Sci. Nat., **44**: 223- 270.
- 16. **Rohlf F. J.** 2002. NTSYS-pc numerical taxonomy and multivariate analysis system, Version 2.1a. Exeter software, Setauket, New York.
- 17. **Lynch M. and Walsh J. B.** 1998. Genetics and analysis of quantitative traits. 980 pp. Sinauer Assocs. Inc., Sunderland, MA.
- 18. **Deshwal R. P. S., Singh R., Malik K. and Randhawa G. J.** 2005. Assessment of genetic diversity and genetic relationship in 29 populations of Azadirachta indica A. Jus. using RAPD markers. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution, **52**: 285-292.
- 19. **Archak S., Gaikwad A. B., Gautam D., Rao E.V.V.B., Swamy K. R. M. and Karihaoo J. L.** 2003. DNA fingerprinting of Indian Cashew (Ancardium ocidentale L.) varieties using RAPD and ISSR technique. Euphytica, **13**: 397-404.
- 20. **Singh A., Negi M. S., Rajgopal J., Bhatia S., Tomar U. K., Srivastava and Lakshmikumaran, M.** 1999. Assessment of genetic diversity in Azadirachta indica using AFLP markers. Theor. Appl. Genet., **99**: 272- 279.
- 21. **Chadha K. L., Gupta M. K. and Bajwa M. S.** 1972. Performance of some grafted varieties of ber (Ziziphus mauritiana Lam.) in Punjab. Ind. J. Hort., **29**: 137-50.
- 22. **Singh M. P. and Vashishtha B. B.** 1984. Field screening of some ber cultivars for resistance to ber fruit fly, Carpomiya vesuviana Costa, Indian Journal of Plant Protection, **12**: 55-56.
- 23. **Ranade S. A., Farooki N. and Farooki F. N.** 2002. Assesment of profile variations amongst provenances of neem using single primer amplification reaction (SPAR) techniques. Molecular biology Today, **3**: 1- 1045.

**Downloaded From IP - 61.247.228.217 on dated 27-Jun-2017**