Short Communication



## Radiation induced pod and seed mutants and MMS induced closed flower mutant in broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.)

## Tariq A. Bhat, A. H. Khan, Sahba Parveen and Nazir A. Wani

Cytogenetics and Mutation Breeding Research Lab., Deptt. of Botany, Aligarh Muslim Univ., Aligarh 202 002

(Received: February 2006; Revised: July 2006; Accepted: August 2006)

Present study reports induced pod and seed mutants obtained at 10kR of gamma rays and a closed flower mutant at 0.04% of MMS in Vicia faba L. variety minor. Dry (10-12% moisture) and healthy seeds of Vicia faba L. var. minor were irradiated with four different doses (10, 20,30 and 40kR) of gamma rays. Another set of seeds presoaked in distilled water for 12 hours was treated with four different concentrations (0.01, 0.02, 0.03 and 0.04%) of MMS for 6 hours. One set of seeds was kept untreated for comparison. All the sets of seeds were sown following complete randomized block design (CRBD) to raise the M1 generation in rabi season of 2003-2004. The seeds were collected individually from the M1 plants and sown in field to raise M<sub>2</sub> and from individual M<sub>2</sub> plants M<sub>3</sub> generation in the same season of 2004-2005 and 2005-2006.

One variant plant with exceptionally bigger size of pods and larger seeds (Figs. 1, 2) was isolated in  $M_1$  generation from the 10kR treatment. The breeding behaviour of this mutant was studied by raising  $M_2$ and  $M_3$  generations. Of the 10 and 25 seeds sown, 7 and 20 seedlings survived to their maturity in  $M_2$ and  $M_3$  generations respectively and showed characteristic features of the mutant as given in Table 1. The mutant was isolated in  $M_1$  and is expected to be dominant, and showed the presence of same features in  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  generations. Joshi and Verma [1] characterized the mutantions obtained in *Vicia faba* L. as "gene mutations" as there were no visible chromosomal changes associated with them. The present *Vicia faba* L. mutant also falls in this category as no cytological changes were observed. The mutant showed 90% pollen fertility which is slightly less than control (93%).

Another mutant characterized by presence of closed round flowers that did not open at all was isolated in  $M_2$  population of 0.04% MMS treatment. The quantitative features of closed flower mutant are given in Table 1. The mutant showed premature fall of flowers and leaves (Fig. 7) and was completely sterile, no pod and seed setting was observed in this mutant, the floral parts *viz.*, calyx, corolla, androecium and gynoecium did not develop normally. This may be due to the pleiotropic activity of mutated gene/genes or mutation of closely linked genes affecting the development of floral whorls simultaneously [2].

The PMCs of control plants showed 6 bivalents at metaphase-I and 6:6 distributions of chromosomes at anaphase-I. The meiotic aberrations at different stages in closed flower mutant was studied and given in Table 2, Figs. 8-16. Different types of chromosomal

Table 1. 🛛	Comparison	of	control	(Parent	variety)	with	the	large	podded	and	closed	flower	mutant	of	broad	bean
------------	------------	----	---------	---------	----------	------	-----	-------	--------	-----	--------	--------	--------	----	-------	------

No	Character	Control (7 plants)	Mutant (1 plant)	Mutants	Mutants	Closed
		Mean±S.E.	M₁. Mean±S.E.	(7 plants) Mo.	(7 plants) M <sub>2</sub> ,	flower
			,	Mean ± S.E.	Mean $\pm$ S.E.	mutants
1.	Plant height (cm)	62.57±0.52	60.00	60.57±0.68	61.52±0.62	58.00
2.	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	26.14±0.76	33.57±0.75	31.57±0.57	33.22±0.64	18.10
З.	Days to 1st flower open	73.83±0.44	62.00	60.71±0.89	60.22±0.88	99.00
4.	Days to 50% flowering	91.42±0.52	85.00	82.42±0.75	80.44±0.73	110.00
5.	No. of flowers	50.71±1.64	67.00	68.85±1.37	70.88±1.22	38.00
6.	No. of branches	8.22±0.66	11.00±0.70	12.24±0.68	14.21±0.82	5.00
7.	No. of leaves	54.22±1.32	92.33±1.68	94.33±1.72	96.33±1.88	40.00
8.	No. of leaflets	165.33±1.83	368.22±1.92	374.18±1.90	380.22±1.93	88.00
9.	Fertile branches	5.28±0.28	8.00	7.57±0.68	8.83±0.72	-
10.	No. of pods/plant	24.00±0.81	32.00	32.42±0.71	33.44±0.72	-
11.	Pod length (cm)	3.34±0.12	5.1±0.06	5.07±0.07	5.03±0.04	-
12.	Pod girth (cm)	2.04±0.08	3.65±0.22	3.80±0.29	3.82±0.32	-
13.	No. of seeds/pod	2.57±0.20	3.85±0.34	4.00±0.37	4.00±0.34	-
14.	No. of seeds/plant	63.28±0.52	122.00	126.00±1.40	130.00±1.20	-
15.	100-seed weight (g)	26.71±0.52	84.28±1.30	85.71±1.32	86.72±1.34	-

Table 2	2.	Frequency	of	meiotic	abnormalities	induced	by	MMS	in	broad	bean	mutant
---------	----	-----------	----	---------	---------------	---------	----	-----	----	-------	------	--------

Treatment	PMCs	Abnor-	Metaphase-I/III (%)				Anaphase-I/II (%)			ho	Telophas	Abnor-	Pollen		
	obser- ved	mal PMCs	Multi- valents	Sticki- ness	Preco- cious sepra- tion	Frag- ments	Lagg- ards	Bridges	Non- disjunc tion	Lagg- ards	Bridges	Distur- bed polarity	Micro nuclei	mal PMCs (%)	fertility (%)
Control	130	-	-	-	-	1. C. F.		- 1				-	-	-	93.00
Closed flower mutant	160	75	3.75 (6)	6.25 (10)	3.75 (6)	4.37 (7)	5.00 (8)	6.25 (10)	3.75 (6)	2.50 (4)	5.00 (8)	4.57 (7)	1.25 (2)	46.25	00.00

Values in parenthesis represent the actual no. of PMCs with the abnormality



abnormalities observed during the present investigation have also been reported by various workers in different plant materials after treatment with physical and chemical mutagens [3]. Multivalent formation can be attributed to irregular pairing and breakage followed by translocations and inversions [4]. The precocious separation and disturbed polarity is probably caused by spindle disturbance, while the fragments may be due to breakage of the chromosomes by the mutagen. The formation of chromatin bridges might be due to the failure of chiasmata in a bivalent to terminalise and the chromosomes get stretched between the poles. The laggards may be due to abnormal spindle formation and chromosomal breakage. The cytological



Fig. 1-16: Vicia faba L. control, mutants and their cytological features: (1) Pods of control (left) and the mutant (right); (2) Seeds of the control (left) and the mutant (right); (3) Control plant; (4) Closed flower mutant; (5) Close view of control plants; (6) Close view of closed flower mutant; (7) Closed flower mutant showing premature fall of flowers and leaves; (8) 6<sub>11</sub> at M-I; (9) 6:6 segregation at A-I; (10) Stickiness at M-I; (11,12) Secondary association at M-I; (13) Bridge at A-I.; (14) Bridge and unsynchronisation at A-II; (15) Laggards at A-I; (16) Disturbed polarity at T-II.

observations suggest that in the present case the floral abnormalities may be due to chromosomal rearrangement. Because of poor flowering and complete sterility, the progeny of this mutant could not be studied further.

## References

1

- Joshi P. and Verma R. C. 2004. Radiation induced pod and seed mutants in Faba Bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Ind. J. Genet., **64**: 155-156.
- Waghmare V. N. and Mehra R. B. 2000. Induced fibrous root mutant in *Lathyrus sativus* L. Indian J. Genet., 60: 261-263.
- Bhat T. A., Khan A. H., Parveen S. and Ganai F. A. 2005. Clastogenic effect of EMS and MMS in *Vicia faba* (L.), J. Cytol. Genet., 6: 117-122.
- Zeerak N. A. 1992. Cytogenetical effects of gamma rays and ethyl methane sulphonate in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). Phytomorphology, 42: 81-86.