

Synchronization of flowering of diverse genotypes of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp.) by plant growth regulators and nutrients

M. Reddy Sekhar^{*}, S. P. Singh, R. B. Mehra and J. N. Govil

Division of Genetics, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110 012

(Received: December 1999, Revised: October 2000; Accepted: May 2001)

Abstract

Synchronization in flowering of diverse genotypes of pigeonpea was studied by using eight chemicals. It was found that Ethrel, MH, NAA, and KNO₃ delayed the flowering while GA, BA and K₂H₂PO₄ hastened the flowering in all genotypes. Maximum delay in days to 50% flowering by 15.1 days was observed with 0.02% Ethrel while maximum acceleration of flowering by 13.5 days was accomplished with 0.01% GA. Synchronization of flowering between untreated male-sterile lines with the treated pollinator lines was achieved in the present study.

Key Words :	Pigeonpea,	plant	growth	regulators,	nutrients,
	flowering syr	nchron	ization		

Introduction

Synchronization of flowering of diverse parental lines is considered to be one of the main objectives of seed production research in pigeonpea since a number of male-sterile lines and diverse genotypes as pollinators are available and are characterised by different flowering duration. A range of variability of 55 to 237 days has been observed in diverse genotypes of pigeonpea for days to 50 per cent flowering [1] and heterotic hybrid combinations have been realised between different maturity groups [2]. The diversity in flowering poses problems in producing the hybrids. In order to accelerate the experimental hybrid seed production, synchronization of flowering among diverse genotypes is obviously an essential pre-requisite. Keeping the above fact under consideration, a preliminary investigation on the manipulation of flowering duration by plant growth regulators and nutrients was carried-out in pigeonpea.

Materials and methods

An experiment was conducted in a split-plot design with 3 replications involving six genotypes (Two female parents i.e., MS Prabhat DT, QMS-1 and four pollinator lines i.e., Pusa 856, Pusa 606, Pusa 84 and 89-7-16 designated respectively as V_1 - V_6) as main-plots and seventeen treatments comprising of 8 chemicals Maleic

hydrazide (MH), Napthalene accetic acid (NAA), Ethrel, gibberatic Acid (GA), Urea, Boric acid (BA), Pottasium nitrate (KNO₃) and Pottasium dihydrogen phosphate $(K_2H_2PO_4)$ each at two concentrations with one control as sub-plot treatments. Each treatment was sown in a four row plot of 4m length with 30 cm × 15 cm as inter and intra-row spacings. Foliar application of plant growth regulators and nutrients was given at 50 days after sowing (DAS) followed by subsequent two sprays at 15 days interval. The observations were recorded on plot basis on five phenological characters viz. number of days from date of sowing to days to flower bud initiation, days to first flower, days to 50% flowering, days to end of flowering and days to maturity and the data were subjected to statistical analysis as per standard procedures [3].

Results and discussion

Significant differences in the flowering behaviour of varieties, in effects of treatments on varieties and their interaction for five phenological characters were observed suggesting that flowering and maturity can be manipulated by different plant growth regulators and nutrients in different genotypes (Table 1). The variation in the genotypes for all flowering characters revealed that QMS-1 was the earliest while Pusa 84 was the latest in flowering and maturity (Table 2). The flower bud initiation was delayed to the maximum extent by 12 days with 0.02% Ethrel in case of MS Prabhat DT. In general, Ethrel, Maleic hydrazide, Naphthalene acetic acid, Urea and Potassium nitrate) at both concentrations delayed flower bud initiation in all the genotypes. On the contrary, Boric acid, Gibberellic acid and Potassium dihydrogen phosphate at both concentrations accelerated the flower bud initiation. Maxiumum delay of flower bud initiation by 9.1 days while maximum acceleration by 6.7 days was accomplished with 0.02% Ethrel and 1.0% BA treatments, respectively. Similar findings of delayed floral initiation by MH and Ethrel application have been reported in sorghum [4] and peanut [5].

Table 1.	Analysis of	variance for	split-plot	design	for fiv	e phenological	characters in	n pigeonpea.
----------	-------------	--------------	------------	--------	---------	----------------	---------------	--------------

	Mean sum of squares											
Source	D.F.	Days to flower bud initiation	Days to first flower	Days to 50% flowering	Days to end of flowering	Days to maturity						
Replications	2	7.94	7.88	14.75	16.75	22.75						
Varieties	5	5815.45	6417.05**	5483.90**	6497.50**	5778.90**						
Error (a)	10	20.55	15.58	14.20	7.45	4.85						
Treatments	16	495.61	912.33	1484.84	1203.47**	1308.25**						
Varieties × treatments	80	6.17**	17.46	21.18	28.39**	29.85**						
Error (b)	192	0.79	1.04	1.50	1.57	2.55						

*Significant at 5% level; **Significant at 1% level

Table 2. Effect of plant growth regulators and nutrients on five phenological characters in pigeonpea

Treatment &	[Days to	flower	bud in	itiation		Mean	rst flow	st flower Mean			Days to 50%			% flowe	lowering					
Concen- tration (%)	V1	V ₂	V ₃	V4	V5	V ₆		V1	V2	V ₃	V4	V_5	V ₆		V ₁	V ₂	V ₃	V4	V5	V ₆	
MH 0.01	83.7	72.7	73.7	86.0	101.7	77.3	82.5	96.0	86.0	90.3	97.0	119.0	89.3	96.3	106.3	97.7	103.7	107.7	127.0	101.0	107.2
MH 0.02	85.0	75.0	75.0	87.0	103.3	79.0	84.0	97.3	89.3	93.7	98.7	121.3	93.0	98.9	108.7	102.3	107.7	109.3	131.3	105.3	110.8
NAA 0.01	83.3	72.0	73.3	85.0	100.7	77.3	81.9	84.0	85.7	89.7	97.0	117.7	89.7	93.9	94.3	96.7	103.3	106.3	126.0	101.0	104.6
NAA 0.02	84.3	74.0	74.7	87.0	101.7	78.0	83.3	96.0	88.0	93.0	98.7	118.7	91.7	97.7	107.0	100.3	106.3	109.0	129.0	103.0	109.1
Ethrel0.01	88.0	76.0	77.3	86.7	102.7	79 <u>.</u> 3	85.0	77.3	93.3	94.3	98.7	119.7	93.3	99.4	109.7	105.0	108.7	109.3	129.0	105.0	111.1
Ethrel0.02	90.0	77.0	78.7	87.3	104.3	80.3	86.3	100.0	95.3	98.3	100.0	123.0	96.0	102.1	112.0	107.0	111.7	111.0	134.0	109.3	114.2
GA 0.005	73.3	60.0	66.0	76.0	92.7	69.0	72.8	80.0	70.0	79.3	83.7	105.7	78.7	82.9	86.3	78.0	88.3	86.3	112.0	88.0	89.8
GA 0.01	71.0	58.0	66.7	74.0	90.0	69.7	71.2	77.3	67.3	89.0	81.3	100.3	75.3	81.8	83.0	75.0	78.3	86.3	106.7	84.0	85.6
Urea 1.0	81.7	69.0	72.3	80.0	93.7	70.0	77.8	90.7	81.0	88.0	89.0	108.0	80.0	89.4	98.3	91.3	99.7	97.3	116.7	99.0	98.7
Urea 2.0	83.7	70.0	74.3	84.7	99.3	74.7	81.1	91.7	83.3	90.7	95.3	114.0	86.0	93.5	100.7	92.0	113.3	104.7	123.3	97.3	105.2
BA 0.5	71.3	59.3	65.0	75.3	92.7	67.3	71.8	78.7	69.0	77.7	84.0	106.0	76.7	82.0	86.7	76.7	88.3	90.0	112.0	95.0	91.4
BA 1.0	69.7	57.7	64.0	74.0	91.3	66.3	70.5	76.3	67.3	76.0	81.3	102.3	75.0	79.7	83.0	75.0	86.0	86.7	107.7	83.0	86.9
KNO3 1.0	80.0	63.7	72.7	84.0	98.7	75.0	79.0	90.3	74.3	87.0	93.7	112.3	87.0	90.8	100.0	84.7	99.3	102.0	121.3	97.0	100.7
KNO3 2.0	81.0	67.3	72.3	85.0	99.3	76.3	80.4	90.0	80.0	89.0	96.0	112.7	90.0	92.9	100.0	90.3	100.7	105.7	122.0	99.0	102.9
K ₂ H ₂ PO₄1.0	75.7	63.0	67.0	78.0	93.7	69.0	74.4	84.0	74.3	82.3	96.3	107.0	77.0	85.2	92.3	84.3	93.7	93.3	112.3	87.0	93.8
K ₂ H ₂ PO ₄ 2.0	74.0	61.3	65.7	76.0	91.0	68.0	72.7	82.0	71.3	79.0	83.3	103.7	76.7	82.7	88.3	80.3	90.0	89.7	110.3	85.0	90.6
Control	78.0	66.0	69.3	81.3	96.0	72.7	72.2	89.0	77.3	84.3	91.7	111.3	84.0	89.6	98.0	86.3	97.3	99.7	119.0	94.0	99.1
Mean	79.6	67.4	71.1	81.6	97.2	73.4	78.4	88.3	79.6	87.2	91.5	111.9	84.7	90.5	97.0	89.6	98.6	99.7	120.0	95.5	100.1
CD at 5%	Varietie	es		1.91							1.67							1.59			
	Treatm	ents		0.60							0.69							0.82			
	Var.×T	reat.		1.47							1.68							2.02			

Treatment &			Days to er	nd of flowe	ring		Mean			Days to maturity						
Concen-	V ₁	V ₂	V ₃	V4	V5	V6		V1	V ₂	V ₃	V4	V5	V ₆			
tration (%)																
MH 0.01	114.3	108.7	114.3	119.0	136.7	109.0	117.0	154.0	148.3	159.3	156.3	173.7	144.0	155.9		
MH 0.02	115.7	113.3	116.7	121.0	141.7	114.0	120.4	153.7	152.7	162.7	158.0	177.0	146.7	158.4		
NAA 0.01	103.3	107.0	115.7	118.3	138.0	110.0	115.4	143.3	145.7	161.0	158.7	163.0	146.0	152.9		
NAA 0.02	115.0	112.3	116.7	122.7	141.0	111.7	119.9	153.3	152.3	162.0	162.0	177.3	150.0	159.5		
Ethrel 0.01	117.7	115.7	119.3	120.7	138.7	113.3	120.9	155.7	154.7	166.0	157.3	174.3	151.0	159.8		
Ethrel 0.02	120.0	118.3	121.7	122.7	142.7	116.3	123.6	156.7	156.7	168.7	159.3	180.0	154.3	162.6		
GA 0.05	98.3	87.7	101.3	100.7	124.7	98.0	101.8	137.7	125.7	144.7	137.3	161.7	131.0	139.7		
GA 0.01	96.7	83.0	93.0	97.3	122.0	96.0	98.0	135.0	122.7	141.7	136.3	156.0	129.0	136.8		
Urea 1.0	107.3	101.0	113.6	108.3	131.0	97.7	109.8	146.3	140.0	157.7	148.0	165.3	131.3	148.1		
Urea 2.0	110.0	103.0	122.7	114.7	135.3	107.7	115.6	150.0	142.3	163.0	156.0	171.7	144.0	154.5		
BA 0.5	108.7	86.0	120,0	102.0	124.0	101.7	104.1	147.3	127.3	143.7	141.0	158.7	134.0	142.0		
BA 1.0	95.3	83.7	100.7	100.7	122.7	92.0	99.2	136.0	123.3	142.3	139.3	155.0	127.0	137.2		
KNO₃ 1.0	111.7	95.3	112.0	112.7	135.0	107.7	112.4	152.0	135.7	157.0	154.7	172.0	142.3	152.3		
KNO3 2.0	111.3	101.0	111.7	117.7	137.0	110.7	114.9	152.3	140.0	155.5	157.3	175.0	147.0	154.5		
K ₂ H ₂ PO ₄ 1.0	103.7	93.3	105.7	104.7	125.3	97.7	105.0	142.3	134.3	148.0	141.0	166.3	132.0	144.0		
K2H2PO4 2.0	100.0	88.7	103.0	100.7	125.3	97.0	102.4	137.0	130.0	144.7	137.7	158.3	130.7	139.7		
Control	108.0	96.7	109.3	110.0	132.0	103.7	109.9	148.3	134.0	153.3	150.0	167.3	138.0	148.5		
Mean (Var)	108.6	99.7	110.5	111.4	132.5	104.9	111.3	147.3	139.2	154.8	150.0	167.8	139.9	149.8		
CD at 5%	Varieti	es				1.15							0.93			
	Treatm	nent				0.84							1.08			
	Var. x	Treat.				2.07						2.63				
V1 (M S Prabhat	DT)	V ₂ (QN	/S-1)		V ₃ (Pu	sa 856)		V ₄ (Pusa 606) V ₅ (Pusa 84)					V ₆ (89-7-16)			

A difference of 32.3 days (averaged over treatments) in first flower appearance was observed between the earliest and late flowering parents (Table 2). The results indicate that 0.02% Ethrel was effective for delaying the first flower appearance by 12.5 days while 1.0% BA was effective for hastening the flower appearance by 9.9 days over all the genotypes. Days to first flower appearance was delayed to the maximum by 18 days with 0.02% Ethrel in QMS-1 while hastening in days to first flower by 12.7 days was achieved with 1.0% BA in MS Prabhat DT.

Days to 50 percent flowering was delayed by 20.7 days with 0.02% Ethrel in QMS-2 while maximum decrease in time to flowering by 19.0 days was noticed with 0.01% GA in Pusa 856. Induced early flowering with foliar application of GA has earlier been reported in peas [6], soybean [7] and groundnut [8]. Application of GA followed by 1% Boron induced early flowering in siratro [9] and in rice [10].

The characters, days to end of flowering and days to maturity, do not seem to have the direct effect on the flowering synchronization. However, the increase in days to end of flowering indicates prolonged flowering duration in a genotype. The flowering duration was extended to the maximum by 21.6 days in QMS-1 with 0.02% Ethrel while it was shortened by 16.3 days with 0.01% in Pusa 856 indicating that QMS-1 and Pusa 856 were more sensitive to the delaying and hastening treatments, respectively.

Synchronization between male-steriles and pollen parents

Synchronization in days to first flower was observed between untreated MS Prabhat DT and 0.01% GA and 2.0% KNO₃ treated plants in Pusa 856, 1.0% urea treated plants in Pusa 606, 0.01% MH treated plants in 89-7-16 and between 0.02% Ethrel treated, MS Prabhat DT and 0.01% GA treated Pusa 84 suggesting that flower initiation can be hastened by application of 0.01% GA in the late flowering parents or delayed by treating with 0.02% Ethrel, 2.0% KNO₃, 0.01% MH or 1.0% Urea spray in the early flowering parents.

A persual of the effect of treatments on the behaviour of parental lines for days to 50% flowering revealed that flowering in untreated MS Prabhat DT and QMS-1 were synchronized with that of 1.0% BA treated Pusa 856, 0.005% and 0.01% GA treated plants in Pusa 606, 0.01% GA and 2.0% $K_2H_2PO_4$ in 89-7-16. Besides, synchrony was observed between 0.02% Ethrel treated QMS-1 and 0.01% GA treated plants in Pusa 84. Similarly, spraying of 1.0% $K_2H_2PO_4$ to the early flowering seed parent QMS-1 and 0.01% GA to the late flowering male parent 89-7-16 was found to be effective for achieving synchronization between them.

From the foregoing discussion, it is concluded that there is vast scope for the use of growth regulators and nutrient sprays in pigeonpea for achieving proper nicking between diverse genotypes varying in flowering duration. It also identified 0.02% Ethrel as the best treatment for delaying while 0.01% GA and 1.0% BA as the best treatments for inducing earliness among the parental lines in a hybrid seed production programme. However, the information on the adverse effect of growth retardants viz., Ethrel, MH and NAA on yield and its components needs to be further investigated before advocating the use of these chemicals for large scale commercial hybrid seed production. It is also suggested that further investigation on the possibility of reducing the time of application from three to two or one spray should be explored.

Acknowledgement

Financial assistance obtained from IARI in form of a Senior Research Fellowship for carrying at Ph.D. research work is gratefully acknowledged by the senior author.

References

- 1. **Reddy L. J.** 1990. Pigeonpea : Morphology. *In*: Y. L. Nene, Sushan D. Hall and V. K. Sheila (eds)., *The Pigeonpea*, pp. 47-87, ICRISAT, Patancheru, A.P.
- Reddy R. P., Rao K. V. and Rao N. G.P. 1970. Heterosis and combining ability in pigeonpea. Indian J. Genet., 39: 240-246.
- Panse V. G. and Sukhatme P. V. 1978. Statistical methods for Agricultural Workers, ICAR, New Delhi.
- Malik B. P. S., Paroda R. S. and Singh S. 1981. Studies on synchronization of flowering of parental lines of sorghum hybrid CSH-5. Seed Res., 9: 30-44.
- Ketring D. L. and Schubert A. M. 1978. Effect of Ethrel on growth, flowering and fruiting of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) plants. Proceedings of plant growth regulator working group. Fifth annual meeting, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia, June 25-29, 1978, 771 p.
- Lukasik S. 1975. Influence of gibberellic acid on the growth and flowering initiation of two types of peas (*Pisum sativum* L.) differing in photoperiod response. Acta Agrobotanica, 28: 29-41.
- Sarma P. S. and Shah C. B. 1981. Effect of seed soaking and foliar spray with gibberellic acid and naphthaline acetic acid on flowering and yield of soybean Clark-63. (*Glycine* max(L.) Merrill). Sci. and Cult., 47: 222-223.
- Lee H. S. 1990. Effects of pre-sowing seed treatments with GA₃ and IAA on flowering and yield components in groundnut. Korean J. Crop Sci., 5: 1-9.
- Rajeev Tiwari and Yadava R. B. R. 1990. Effects of GA and Boron on growth, flowering and yield of siratro plants. Indian J. Plant Physiol., 33: 165-167.
- Prasad M. N., Virmani S. S. and Gautam A. D. 1988. Substituting urea and Boric acid for gibberellic acid in hybrid rice seed production. IRRI Newsl., 13: 9-10.