



## Studies on genetic divergence over stress and non-stress environment in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]

B. L. Patil<sup>1</sup>, V. S. Hegde and P. M. Salimath

University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad 580 005

(Received: March 2001; Revised: January 2003; Accepted: January 2003)

Thirty six genotypes of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] which consisted of both released varieties and advanced lines representing genotypes pre-selected for resistance or tolerance to different stress conditions, maintained at IARI Center for Pulses Improvement, UAS, Dharwad, Karnataka were used for the study. The experiment was carried in three environments involving stress and non-stress conditions to understand the genetic diversity as influenced by the change in environment.

These were grown in three distinct rainfed environments: E<sub>1</sub> (Recommended dose of fertilizers + plant protection chemicals), E<sub>2</sub> (Only recommended dose of fertilizers) and E<sub>3</sub> (Fertilizer and pesticide free conditions) at Agricultural College, Dharwad. The characters studied were plant height (PH), branches per plant (BPP), clusters per plant (CPP), pods per plant (PPP), seeds per pod (S/P), 100 seed weight (100 SW), biological yield (BY), harvest index (HI), days to initiation of flowering (DIF), days to 50% flowering (D50%F), days to initiation of pod maturity (DIPM), days to 75% pod maturity (D75%PM), powdery mildew at 45 (PM45D), 60 days (PM60D) and mung yellow mosaic virus (MYMV), which were selected because of their association with yield as reported by many authors in their previous studies.

The pathological traits were scored by following the 0-9 disease rating scale by referring to the standard area diagrams [1, 2] and subjected to Arc Sine transformation and then used for statistical analysis. The test of homogeneity of error variances was found significant and hence the Mahalanobis' distance (D<sup>2</sup>) were calculated separately for each environment [3]. The simultaneous test for significance based on Wilk's criterion for pooled effect of all the characters in all the test environments showed significant differences among the varieties, thus indicating the presence of considerable amount of genetic variability for different characters.

The genotypes fell into 5 clusters in E<sub>3</sub>, 10 in E<sub>2</sub> and 9 in E<sub>1</sub> and the maximum number of genotypes (30) were included in cluster I under stress environment E<sub>3</sub>. In contrast, the number of clusters containing single genotype were high in E<sub>2</sub> followed by E<sub>1</sub> and E<sub>3</sub>. The variation in the clustering pattern is indicative of high g × e interaction influencing genotypes mean in different environments, thereby emphasizing importance of multi-environment studies for quantitative assessment of genetic diversity. Variation in clustering pattern was also observed with the change of growing season and fertility levels [4-6].

Under extreme stress conditions (E<sub>3</sub>), only 5 clusters were obtained. This shows that such conditions were less efficient in differentiating different genotypes. It is therefore suggested that optimum environmental conditions should be provided to facilitate fullest expression of characters for the best classification of genotypes. It also depends on the breeding objective i.e. in what environmental conditions the varieties to be developed are intended to be released.

The factors responsible for differentiation of intra and inter-cluster levels were different in different environments, as indicated by the cluster means of the various characters (Table 1). In all the three environments, the pathological characters contributed the maximum (Table 2). Based on the *per se* performance, it is very clear that K 851, LM 608 and LM 5-12 are more genetically diverse than the other genotypes in all the three environments.

### References

1. Wheeler B.E.J. 1969. An Introduction to Plant Diseases, John Wiley and Sons Ltd., London, U.K., p. 301.
2. Mayee C.D. and Datar V.V. 1986. Phytopathometry. Marathwada Agricultural University, Parbhani, pp. 33-37.
3. Mahalanobis P.C. 1936. On the generalized distance in statistics. In: Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences, India, 2: 49-55.

**Table 1.** Clusterwise mean of characters important for the selection under favourable and unfavourable environments of mungbean

| Character | Environment    | Cluster |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
|-----------|----------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|           |                | I       | II    | III   | IV    | V     | VI    | VII   | VIII  | IX    | X     |
| PPP       | E <sub>1</sub> | 25.03   | 27.03 | 34.40 | 29.67 | 26.87 | 41.13 | 33.27 | 36.27 | 50.27 |       |
|           | E <sub>2</sub> | 28.25   | 40.20 | 22.93 | 24.07 | 36.53 | 20.67 | 31.20 | 24.60 | 26.73 | 28.40 |
|           | E <sub>3</sub> | 33.41   | 17.87 | 27.53 | 20.11 | 22.27 |       |       |       |       |       |
| 100SW     | E <sub>1</sub> | 2.68    | 3.27  | 3.15  | 3.25  | 2.67  | 3.00  | 2.39  | 2.94  | 3.04  |       |
|           | E <sub>2</sub> | 2.98    | 2.65  | 2.74  | 6.35  | 2.83  | 2.8   | 3.14  | 2.19  | 2.70  | 2.86  |
|           | E <sub>3</sub> | 3.05    | 3.03  | 2.50  | 2.67  | 2.45  |       |       |       |       |       |
| SY        | E <sub>1</sub> | 6.71    | 7.89  | 10.77 | 7.58  | 7.17  | 9.90  | 9.75  | 7.88  | 10.21 |       |
|           | E <sub>2</sub> | 6.59    | 8.95  | 4.92  | 6.35  | 4.69  | 4.20  | 7.42  | 5.44  | 5.07  | 8.56  |
|           | E <sub>3</sub> | 5.43    | 2.08  | 6.42  | 5.2   | 4.16  |       |       |       |       |       |
| BY        | E <sub>1</sub> | 22.45   | 24.15 | 19.96 | 27.55 | 18.13 | 26.68 | 24.22 | 19.14 | 31.85 |       |
|           | E <sub>2</sub> | 19.79   | 23.74 | 11.59 | 18.47 | 19.95 | 13.26 | 15.82 | 18.57 | 12.75 | 20.91 |
|           | E <sub>3</sub> | 15.55   | 9.42  | 18.98 | 16.58 | 10.10 |       |       |       |       |       |
| HI        | E <sub>1</sub> | 0.29    | 0.33  | 0.39  | 0.34  | 0.39  | 0.35  | 0.40  | 0.41  | 0.32  |       |
|           | E <sub>2</sub> | 0.33    | 0.38  | 0.42  | 0.34  | 0.23  | 0.31  | 0.47  | 0.29  | 0.40  | 0.41  |
|           | E <sub>3</sub> | 0.36    | 0.22  | 0.34  | 0.32  | 0.41  |       |       |       |       |       |
| PM (45D)  | E <sub>1</sub> | 3.48    | 6.97  | 19.30 | 0.28  | 9.92  | 8.39  | 0.03  | 33.35 | 32.13 |       |
|           | E <sub>2</sub> | 19.51   | 12.37 | 43.48 | 5.19  | 3.88  | 27.20 | 19.17 | 5.15  | 0.28  | 0.28  |
|           | E <sub>3</sub> | 24.33   | 44.18 | 21.40 | 4.15  | 11.88 |       |       |       |       |       |
| PM (60D)  | E <sub>1</sub> | 15.72   | 22.58 | 22.56 | 9.39  | 25.73 | 9.60  | 11.58 | 42.04 | 36.35 |       |
|           | E <sub>2</sub> | 49.53   | 30.45 | 61.20 | 51.24 | 31.81 | 65.66 | 33.05 | 25.01 | 37.39 | 5.78  |
|           | E <sub>3</sub> | 76.54   | 99.72 | 49.90 | 29.25 | 25.21 |       |       |       |       |       |
| MYMV      | E <sub>1</sub> | 86.73   | 9.78  | 0.79  | 28.58 | 25.62 | 30.36 | 4.46  | 0.28  | 7.97  |       |
|           | E <sub>2</sub> | 5.82    | 22.45 | 1.40  | 40.11 | 0.28  | 2.30  | 37.81 | 29.84 | 21.13 | 0.79  |
|           | E <sub>3</sub> | 6.12    | 0.79  | 0.28  | 20.89 | 6.75  |       |       |       |       |       |

**Table 2.** Relative contribution of different characters of mungbean towards divergence in E<sub>1</sub>, E<sub>2</sub> and E<sub>3</sub>

| Source<br>(Character) | Times ranked 1st |                |                | Per cent contribution |                |                |
|-----------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|
|                       | E <sub>1</sub>   | E <sub>2</sub> | E <sub>3</sub> | E <sub>1</sub>        | E <sub>2</sub> | E <sub>3</sub> |
| PH                    | 110              | 94             | 94             | 17.46                 | 14.92          | 14.92          |
| PPP                   | 77               | 30             | 39             | 12.22                 | 4.76           | 6.19           |
| BY                    | 46               | 8              | 6              | 7.30                  | 1.27           | 0.95           |
| DIF                   | 2                | 2              | 0              | 0.32                  | 0.32           | 0.00           |
| DIPM                  | 0                | 0              | 1              | 0.00                  | 0.00           | 0.16           |
| PM (45D)              | 65               | 101            | 93             | 10.32                 | 16.03          | 14.76          |
| PM (60D)              | 103              | 219            | 313            | 16.35                 | 34.76          | 49.68          |
| MYMV                  | 227              | 176            | 84             | 36.05                 | 27.94          | 13.33          |

Note: The relative contribution of the characters BPP, CPP, PPC, S/P, 100SW, SY, HI, D50%F, D75%PM towards divergence is zero.

- del Rio A.H., Bamberg J.B., Huaman Z. 1997. Assessing changes in the potato gene banks. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **95**: 199-204.
- Ramana M.V. and Singh D.P. 1987. Genetic divergence in Mungbean. *Crop Improv.*, **14**: 23-27.
- Naidu N.V. and Satyanarayana A. 1991. Studies on genetic divergence over environment in mungbean. *Indian J. Genet.*, **51**: 454-460.