# GENETIC POTENTIAL OF INDUCED MUTANT RESTORER LINES FOR PRODUCING HIGH YIELDING EARLY MATURING SUNFLOWER HYBRIDS

# R. K. SUGOOR, K. GIRIRAJ, P. M. SALIMATH AND N. G. HANAMARATTI

Oilseeds Project, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad 580005

(Received: February 22, 1995; accepted: June 9, 1995)

### ABSTRACT

Twenty five  $M_2$  mutant lines, derived from 0.3 and 0.4% ethyl methane sulphonate (EMS) treatment of RHA-298 were crossed with two standard cytoplasmic male sterile lines, viz., CMS-234A and CMS-851A. The resultant 50 hybrids along with two check hybrids, analysed in line x tester design, exhibited significant gca and sca variance. Some mutant lines ( $P_1$ ,  $P_8$  and  $P_{15}$ ) with very high gca effects for majority of characters were identified for use in breeding programme. Crosses with high sca effects involved parents with low x low gca effects. The CMS-234A x  $P_{15}$  hybrid showed higher heterosis for seed yield than the control  $F_1$  hybrids and was also early.

Key words: Induced mutants, combining ability, sunflower.

Because of wide adaptability, sunflower is grown in varied agroclimatic conditions and there is scope to extend its cultivation to nontraditional areas [1]. However, late maturing commercial varieties/hybrids restricts the cultivation of sunflower in rainfed conditions. Successful cultivation of sunflower in such areas demands the development of early maturing hybrids/varieties. The restorer line RHA-298 is widely used in sunflower hybrid breeding programmes. It is resistant to rust, downey mildew and *Verticillium* wilt [2], but late in maturity and hence the derived hybrids using it as a parent are also generally late. The use of induced mutation to overcome such defects is well known. In many instances early mutations have been induced [3].

In the present study an attempt has been made to assess the nature and magnitude of induced variability for combining ability and explore the possibility of exploiting the same for developing early maturing hybrids.

Author for correspondence: Dept. of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad 580005.

February, 1996]

#### Fertility Restorer Mutant in Sunflower

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Presoaked seeds of a potential restorer, RHA-298, were treated with 0.3 and 0.4% ethyl methane sulphonate (EMS). Twenty five randomly selected M<sub>2</sub> plants (P<sub>1</sub> to P<sub>15</sub> from 0.3% dose, and P<sub>16</sub> to P<sub>25</sub> from 0.4% dose) were crossed with two male sterile lines, viz., CMS-234-A and CMS-851A, for early generation testing of the combining ability of the mutant lines for subsequent use of the selected early flowering lines. The 50 F<sub>1</sub> hybrids thus derived were evaluated in randomised block design with three replications along with two check F<sub>1</sub> hybrids obtained by crossing untreated RHA-298 (P<sub>26</sub>) as male with the same two male sterile lines. Twenty eight parental entries (25 mutant lines, one untreated restorer line, and two maintainer lines in lieu of the two male sterile lines) were also grown in contigous blocks in the same manner. Each entry was grown in a single row of 4 m length with a spacing of  $60 \times 20$  cm. Observations were recorded for five quantitative traits on five random plants in each line. Combining ability was analyzed following Kempthrone [4]. The comparative performance of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids was judged from their heterosis over the check hybrids.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The analysis of variance (Table 1) indicated significant variation for seed yield, stem girth and leaf area. Although both the male sterile lines (CMS-234A and CMS-851A) were

derived from Petiolaris source, wide genetic variability was evident in the cms lines. The higher magnitude of sca variance as compared to gca variance (except stem girth) implied that seed yield, days to flowering, plant height and leaf area were predominantly under the control of nonadditive gene action. However, Putt [5] reported that additive gene action was important in the inheritance of days to flowering. The nonadditive gene action observed in the present study is in agreement with the

ability in sunflower						
Source	d.f.	Seed yield	Days to flower- ing	Plant height	Stem girth	Leaf area
Crosses	51	40.1**	2.3	0.01	0.05*	87.3**
Lines	25	50.3 <sup>**</sup>	1.6	0.01	0.04	84.3 <sup>**</sup> ·
Testers	1	31.8**	<b>52</b> .0	0.07**	0.10	1072.5
Lines X testers	25	30.2**	0.9	0.01	0.03	50.8
Error	10 <b>2</b>	2.0	2.4	0.01	0.04	<b>4</b> 6.6
Estimate of vari	ance con	ponents:				
Gca		0.26	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.6
Sca		31.39	0.14	0.01	0.00	62.4
Gca : sca		1:121.2	2 1:4.0	<del></del>	_	1:109.5

 Table 1. Analysis of variance (M.S.S.) for combining ability in sunflower

"Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively.

reports of Patil et al. [3] in sorghum. These results suggest that the breeding programme should be formulated to exploit nonadditive genetic variance in the present material.

The gca effects of inbreds (Table 2) revealed that none of the parents was superior for all the characters studied. Nevertheless, P1, P8 and P15 recorded high gca effects for most of the characters. P1 showed high gca for seed yield, stem girth and leaf area, while P8 and P15 showed significant positive gca for seed yield and desirable significant gca for days to flowering. Similar observations were reported by Giriraj et al. [6]. It is noteworthy that for all the characters gca effects of mutant lines were higher than that of the untreated restorer line, RHA-298, suggesting the possibility of obtaining desirable variation for combining ability by induced mutagenesis. Similar conclusions were made by Patil et al. [3].

In respect of specific combining ability also, many crosses involving mutant derivatives appeared to be promising (Table 3). They also exhibited high heterosis in the desirable direction over respective control  $F_1$ . In majority of crosses, high sca effect was observed either in low x low or high x high gca parent crosses, however, low x low gca combinations were more frequent. The

Character	Line	Mean	Gca effects
Seed yield (g/plant)	P <sub>10</sub>	12.01	7.96**
· · ·	P1	11.24	7.55**
	P <sub>6</sub>	11.17	6.18
	P8	14.64	<b>5.95</b> **
	P <sub>15</sub>	15.37	5.38**
Days to flowering	P <sub>5</sub>	76.00	- 1.34
	P15	75.00	- 1.33
	P8	76.00	0.97
	P18	79.00	- 0.81
	P20	77.00	- 0.81
Plant height (cm)	P <sub>6</sub>	1.44	0.08**
	P9	1.30	0.06
	P5	1.37	0.06*
	P25	1.21	0.06*
	P <sub>26</sub>	1.41	0.06
Stem girth (cm)	P <sub>1</sub>	2.32	0.29
. <b>.</b>	P9	2.26	0.29*
	P14	2.44	0.20*
	P12	2.24	0.12
	P <sub>26</sub>	2.19	2.12
Leaf area (dm <sup>2</sup> )	P8	54.88	15. <b>79<sup>**</sup></b>
	P4	52.51	10.08**
	P10	54.22	7.73**
	Р9	46.15	7.17**
	P1	47.70	5.53

 

 Table
 2. Mean performance and gca effects of the top five mutant lines in respect of seed yield and other yield components in sunflowe

\*\*\*Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively.

results are in agreement with the findings of Vranceaneu and Stoenenscu [7].

As mentioned earlier, one of the limitations in cultivation of RHA-298 is its late maturity, due to which the hybrids derived from it are also late. The induced mutant lines proved effective in obtaining hybrid combination with earliness. The performance of the best four early hybrids as compared to the control F<sub>1</sub>s is presented in Table 4. Among these 4 hybrids, CMS-234A x P<sub>15</sub> was early and also a high yielding (Table 3). Gregory [8] and Carlson et al. [9] also reported early flowering hybrids involving mutant lines.

February, 1996]

Character	Cross	Mean	Heterosis over	Sca	Gca status of parent	
			control F <sub>1</sub>	effect	female	male
Seed yield	CMS-234A x P <sub>14</sub>	36.36	0.56	11.33**	Low	Low
(g/plant)	CMS-234A x P15	60.37	66.95	10.43**	Low	High
0.1	CMS-234A x P18	52.33	<b>24</b> .33 <sup>**</sup>	6.27**	Low	High
	CMS-851A x P21	41.33	16.88**	4.92**	Low	High
	CMS-234A x P <sub>6</sub>	55.40	53.21 <sup>**</sup>	4.66**	Low	High
Days to	CMS-234A x P24	66.00	- 4.35*	- 2.17	High	Low
flowering	CMS-234A x P21	66.00	4.35*	1.17	High	Low
U	CMS-234A x P <sub>16</sub>	66.00	- 2.89*	- 1.17	High	Low
	CMS-234A x P23	68.00	- 1.45	- 1.01	High	Low
	CMS-851A x P4	68.00	- 1.43	- 0.99	High	Low
Plant height	CMS-851A x P6	1.97	8.83**	0.09*	Low	High
(cm)	CMS-234A x P19	1.82	2.25	0.09*	Low	High
	CMS-234A x P11	1.82	2.24	0.08	Low	Low
,	CMS-234A x P <sub>16</sub>	1.82	- 1.12	0.05	Low	Low
	CMS-234A x P25	1.76	3.93	0.05	Low	Low
Stem girth	CMS-851A x P19	3.08	12.00	0.24	Low	Low
(cm)	CMS-234A x P17	2.95	10.90	0.23	Low	Low
	CMS-851A x P <sub>18</sub>	3.12	13.45	0.21	Low	Low
	CMS-234A x P7	3.10	7.52	0.21	Low	Low
	CMS-851A x P <sub>26</sub>	2.75		0.17	Low	Low
Leaf area	CMS-851A x P14	79.30	24.68 <sup>*</sup>	12.12**	High	Low
(dm <sup>2</sup> )	CMS-851A x P3	78.72	0.77	12.08**	High	High
•	CMS-234A x P19	71.41	10.87	11.13**	High	Low
	CMS-851A x P19	69.59	9.42	9.50**	High	Low
	CMS-234A x P13	79.12	22.84	9.24 <sup>*</sup>	High	Low

 Table 3. Mean performance, heterosis and parental gca status of five crosses exhibiting maximum sca

 effects in respect of seed yield and components in sunflower

""Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively.

The present study has thus helped in isolating better combining mutant lines with respect to earliness as well as yield. Keeping in view the obvious advantages of early generation testing, which have been clearly demonstrated in different crop species, the superior mutant lines identified in the present study may be carried forward

 
 Table 4. Heterotic hybrids involving induced mutant lines for days to flowering in sunflower

With CM	S-234A	With CMS-851A			
cross	heterosis over control F1 (%)	cross	heterosis over control F <sub>1</sub> (%)		
CMS-234A x P <sub>15</sub>	- 5.79**	CMS-851A x P5	- 2.86*		
CMS-234A x P <sub>16</sub>	- 2.89*	CMS-851A x P8	- 2.86*		
CMS-234A x P21	- 4.35**	CMS-851A x P <sub>15</sub>	- 2.85**		
CMS-234A x P <sub>24</sub>	- 4.35**	CMS-851A x P15	- 4.28 <sup>**</sup>		

""Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively.

which may (after reaching desired level of homozygosity) prove to be promising for developing early and high yielding sunflower hybrids.

## REFERENCES

- 1. A. Seetharam and K. Virupakshappa. 1993. Present status and future directions of sunflower breeding in India. *In*: National Seminar on Oilseeds Research and Development in India: Status and Strategies, Directorate of Oilseeds Research, Hyderabad, India: 13–15.
- 2. G. N. Fick, D. E. Zimmer and D. C. Zimmerman. 1979. Registration of sunflower parental lines. Crop Sci., 19: 423.
- S. S. Patil, V. V. Pandit and L. S. Shashidhara. 1991. Exploitation of induced variability for combining ability in developing new hybrids in sorghum. *In*: Genetic Research & Education: Current Trends & the Next Fifty Years Abstr. Golden Jubile Symp., February 12–15, 1991, New Delhi. Indian Society of Genetics and Plant Breeding, New Delhi: 668–669.
- 4. O. Kempthorne. 1957. An Introduction to Genetic Statistics. John Wiley and Sons Inc. New York, U.S.A.
- 5. E. D. Putt. 1966. Heterosis, combining ability and predicted synthetics from a diallel cross in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Can. J. Plant Sci., 46: 59–67.
- K. Giriraj, Shantha R. Hiremath and K. Seenappa. 1987. Combining ability of converted male-sterile lines of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Indian J. Genet., 47: 315–317.
- 7. A. V. Vranceanu and F.M. Stoenescu. 1982. Achievements and prospects of . sunflower genetics, breeding and induced mutation utilization. *In*: Improvement of Oilseed and Industrial Crops by Induced Mutations. IAEA, Vienna: 81–87.
- 8. L. C. Gregory. 1955. X-ray breeding of peanuts (Arachis hypogaea L.). Agron J., 47: 396-399.
- 9. C. K. Carlson, D. A. Emery and J. C. Winnie. 1975. The effect of temperature on radiation induced macromutants of *Arachis hypogaea* and expression of heterosis in F<sub>1</sub> hybrids populations. Rad. Bot., 15: 199–213.

. .

4.