

ARCHITECTURE OF SEED YIELD IN JUTE

BHASWATI RAY* AND T. K. MAJUMDAR

*Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture
Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, West Bengal 741246*

(Received: July 24, 1993; accepted: October 25, 1993)

ABSTRACT

Combining ability analysis from five-parent diallel sets without reciprocals involving F₁ and F₂ generations of *Corchorus olitorius* L. and *C. capsularis* L. revealed that both additive and nonadditive types of gene actions were operating in the inheritance of plant height, days to flower, fruits/plant, fruit size, seeds/fruit, 100-seed weight, seed weight/fruit and seed yield/plant. Partitioning of dominance variance indicated that a considerable part of dominance gene action was due to the asymmetry of dominant gene distribution among the parents. The results also pointed out that additive variance was the major genetic component for days to flower, pod length, 100-seed weight and seed yield/plant in *C. olitorius* and for plant height as well as seeds/capsule in *C. capsularis*. Narrow sense heritability estimates for these traits were high except in one case. Among all the parents, JRO 7835 was identified as a promising general combiner for seed yield and its components.

Key words: Combining ability, *Corchorus olitorius*, *Corchorus capsularis*.

The jute fibre is extracted from the stem of two cultivated species, *Corchorus olitorius* L. and *C. capsularis* L. and hence major emphasis has so far been laid on selection of fibre yield and other related vegetative aspects. Several important studies have been carried out on the nature of inheritance of fibre yield [1–4]. In view of this type of selection pressure exerted on vegetative characters exclusively, no deliberate selection pressure by the breeders has been applied to improve seed size and yield. Only a few reports on the inheritance of seed yield and its components are available [5, 6]. Under such circumstances, it is imperative to understand the nature of inheritance of seed yield and its components to formulate an effective breeding strategy for improving these characters without loss of fibre yield. The present study aims to understand the nature of inheritance of seed yield and its components in jute.

*Present address: B-8/107, Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal, 741235.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two sets of five-parent diallel crosses without reciprocals among the varieties of *C. olitorius* (JRO 620, JRO 524, JRO 632, JRO 878 and JRO 7835) and among those of *C. capsularis* (JRC 7447, JRC 4444, D 154, JRC 321 and JRC 212) were made. In each set, the five parents along with F₁ and F₂ generations were grown, respectively, in 1989 and 1990 in randomized block design with three replications. The distances between lines and plants within the lines were 30 cm and 10 cm, respectively. Three rows each of 1.5 m length constituted a plot for each parent and F₁ hybrid and five rows for each F₂ population. Fertilizers were applied at the rate of N₄₀P₂₀K₂₀ per ha for *C. olitorius* and N₆₀P₂₀K₂₀ per ha for *C. capsularis*.

Observations were recorded on five random plants from each plot of parents and F₁ and ten random plants from each F₂ for eight quantitative traits (Table 1). Ten random fruits of each plant were used to record observations.

ANOVA was performed separately for F₁ and F₂ generations. Combining ability analysis was undertaken following Griffing Model I, Method II [7] and Jones [8] after recording significant variation among the varieties.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variances for combining ability showed that the mean squares due to general and specific combining ability (gca, sca) were highly significant for all the characters in both the F₁ and F₂ generations of *C. olitorius* and *C. capsularis*, except for seed yield in *C. olitorius* and seeds/capsule in *C. capsularis* for which the mean squares due to sca was nonsignificant in F₁. Among the components of *b*, *b*₁, measuring midparental deviation, increased significantly in F₂ for seed weight/pod and seed yield/plant in *C. olitorius* and for capsule diameter, seeds/capsule, 100-seed weight and seed weight/capsule in *C. capsularis* probably due to dominance or segregation and recombination of genes. The *b*₂ component was significant in both F₁ and F₂ for most of the characters under study, indicating that the parents had a symmetrical distribution of dominant genes responsible for a particular character in both generations. The component *b*₃ (residual dominance) was also significant for most of the traits in both species.

The estimated additive variance was larger than the corresponding nonadditive or dominance variance in both generations for days to flower, pod length, 100-seed weight and seed yield/plant in *C. olitorius* but only for plant height and seeds/capsule in *C. capsularis*, resulting in higher estimates of narrow sense heritability except for 100-seed weight in F₂ of *C. olitorius*. The estimated dominance variance was high in both F₁ and F₂ for 100-seed

Table 1. Estimates of gca effects for eight characters of *C. olitorius*

| Parent | Generation | Plant height ($\times 10^{-2}$) | Days to flower | Capsule per plant | Capsule length ($\times 10^{-2}$) | Seeds per capsule | 100-seed wt. ($\times 10^{-4}$) | Seed wt. per pod ($\times 10^{-4}$) | Seed yield per plant |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|--------------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| JRO 620 | F ₁ | -0.91 | -3.80** | -0.83** | 19.66** | -0.12 | 25.70** | 29.40** | 0.22* |
| | F ₂ | -7.68** | -2.22** | -0.56** | 35.28** | 0.45 | -2.0 | -31.40** | -0.26** |
| JRO 524 | F ₁ | -1.48 | 1.50** | -0.55** | -16.20** | -2.21** | -27.10** | -46.20** | -0.62** |
| | F ₂ | -0.40 | 0.70** | 0.19 | -16.57** | -2.32** | -29.10** | -50.0** | 0.11 |
| JRO 632 | F ₁ | 0.94 | -4.35** | -0.26 | 28.94** | -2.85** | 27.10** | -22.0* | -0.24** |
| | F ₂ | 3.03 | -1.53** | -1.37** | 22.43** | 2.98** | 20.80** | 81.40** | -0.59** |
| JRO 878 | F ₁ | 7.80** | 2.81** | 0.72** | -18.20** | 1.36** | -34.20** | -10.50 | 0.40** |
| | F ₂ | 3.46** | 1.25** | 0.03 | -49.14** | -4.49** | -22.0** | -72.80** | -0.12 |
| JRO 7835 | F ₁ | -6.34** | 3.84** | 0.93** | -14.20** | 3.82** | 8.60 | 49.40** | 0.23** |
| | F ₂ | 1.60 | 1.80** | 1.71** | 8.0 | 3.38** | 32.30** | 72.80** | 0.86** |
| SE (g _i) | F ₁ | ± 1.84 | ± 0.46 | ± 0.23 | ± 3.39 | ± 0.42 | ± 6.28 | ± 10.66 | ± 0.10 |
| | F ₂ | ± 1.64 | ± 0.24 | ± 0.25 | ± 5.29 | ± 0.97 | ± 6.47 | ± 12.37 | ± 0.11 |
| SE (g _r -g _i) | F ₁ | ± 2.91 | ± 0.74 | ± 0.37 | ± 5.36 | ± 0.67 | ± 9.94 | ± 16.86 | ± 0.17 |
| | F ₂ | ± 2.59 | ± 0.38 | ± 0.39 | ± 8.35 | ± 1.53 | ± 10.23 | ± 19.56 | ± 0.18 |

** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively.

weight, seed weight/capsule and seed yield/plant in *C. capsularis*. Unlike *C. capsularis*, none of the traits in *C. olitorius* exhibited dominance variance of consistent nature from F₁ to F₂. As regards fruits/plant of both species, the major role of dominance in F₁ reversed rendering additive component in comparison to the former increased in F₂, as a result quite appreciable increase in narrow sense heritability in F₂ was observed.

Therefore, the results suggest that the estimated additive variances are likely to show an upward bias due to the presence of significant asymmetry in gene distribution among the parents as *a* or gca according to Hayman [9] is composed of D - F + H₁ - H₂.

The estimates of gca of the parents belonging to *C. olitorius* (Table 1) revealed that only JRO 7835 had significantly positive gca effects for seed yield and its components in F₁ which further increased in F₂, indicating reinforcement of additive effects of genes and their breeding value. On the contrary, none of the *C. capsularis* varieties showed consistency in gca effects in either of the two generations (Table 2).

Table 2. Estimates of gca effects for eight characters of *C. capsularis*

| Parent | Generation | Plant height ($\times 10^{-2}$) | Days to flower | Capsules per plant | Capsule diameter ($\times 10^{-2}$) | Seeds per capsule | 100-seed wt. ($\times 10^{-4}$) | Seed wt. per capsules ($\times 10^{-4}$) | Seed yield per plant |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--|-------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| JRC 7447 | F ₁ | 13.17** | 0.57 | 0.30 | -0.46** | -0.57** | -0.85 | -0.57 | 0.46** |
| | F ₂ | 3.20** | 1.63** | 0.73 | 0.03 | 0.34 | -26.0** | -2.0 | 0.14 |
| JRC 4444 | F ₁ | -3.68** | 1.57** | -0.99** | 0.32** | 0.21 | -0.86 | -2.0 | -0.40** |
| | F ₂ | 4.20** | -0.61** | -8.15** | -0.06 | 0.49** | 29.71** | 15.10** | -0.77** |
| D 154 | F ₁ | -5.26** | 0.74** | 3.48** | 0.32** | 0.74** | 16.28** | 18.0** | 0.58** |
| | F ₂ | -0.94 | 0.49** | 0.52 | -1.11** | -0.50** | 11.14** | -7.70 | 0.21 |
| JRC 321 | F ₁ | -2.54** | -4.83** | -1.76** | 0.21** | -0.03 | -28.30** | -14.86** | -0.55** |
| | F ₂ | -0.23 | -5.58** | 1.98** | 2.16** | 0.80** | 5.43 | 18.0** | 0.27 |
| JRC 212 | F ₁ | -1.68** | 1.93** | -1.02** | -0.40** | -0.35** | 13.43** | -0.57 | -0.08 |
| | F ₂ | -6.23** | 4.06** | 4.91** | -1.02** | -1.13** | -20.28** | -33.40** | 0.15 |
| SE (g _i) | F ₁ | ± 0.64 | ± 0.32 | ± 0.45 | ± 0.03 | ± 0.15 | ± 3.22 | ± 2.34 | ± 0.18 |
| | F ₂ | ± 1.15 | ± 0.22 | ± 0.64 | ± 0.41 | ± 0.19 | ± 5.10 | ± 4.17 | ± 0.15 |
| SE (g _i -g _j) | F ₁ | ± 1.02 | ± 0.51 | ± 0.71 | ± 0.06 | ± 0.24 | ± 5.09 | ± 3.70 | ± 0.29 |
| | F ₂ | ± 1.81 | ± 0.36 | ± 1.01 | ± 0.65 | ± 0.31 | ± 8.06 | ± 6.59 | ± 0.23 |

** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Dr. S. L. Basak for examining the manuscript critically.

REFERENCES

1. O. I. Joarder, A. M. Eunus and M. A. Rahman. 1969. Inheritance of earliness and plant height in a six-parent diallel cross of *Corchorus olitorius* L. Can. J. Genet. Cytol., 11: 184-191.
2. D. P. Singh. 1973. Combining ability in jute. Indian J. Genet., 33: 77-81.
3. S. L. Basak, M. K. Jana and P. Paria. 1974. Approaches to genetic improvement of jute. Indian J. Genet., 34A: 891-900.
4. A. M. Eunus. 1974. Inheritance of quantitative characters in jute. Indian J. Genet., 34A: 901-911.

5. A. N. Datta, S. L. Basak and M. K. Jana. 1975. Genetic-fertilizer interaction of seed characters in jute (*C. capsularis* L.). *Seed Res.*, **3**: 16-20.
6. N. K. Paul, O. I. Joarder and A. M. Eunos. 1977. Gene action, heterosis and combining ability for some quantitative characters of jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L.). *J. Bangladesh Acad. Sci.*, **1**: 43-52.
7. B. Griffing. 1956. Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.*, **9**: 463-492.
8. R. M. Jones. 1965. Analysis of variance of the half diallel table. *Heredity*, **20**: 117-121.
9. B. I. Hayman. 1954. The analysis of variance of diallel tables. *Biometrics*, **10**: 235-244.