

Molecular characterization and phylogenetic assessment of a few *Dioscorea* (Dioscoreaceae) species of North-East India

Nilofer Sheikh*, Yogendra Kumar and A. K. Misra

Department of Botany, North Eastern Hill University, Shillong 793 022, Meghalaya

(Received: October 2018; Revised: February 2019; Accepted: February 2019)

Abstract

Dioscorea spp. or yam are consumed by the indigenous peoples of North-Eastern region of India as a substitute for potato and most of the species are also used in traditional medicine. North-East region is one of the hotspot for Dioscorea species growing in wild habitat which has not been characterized or identified. In the present study, eight morphologically distinct species of Dioscorea belonging to section Enantiophyllum, Botryosicyos and Opsophyton were subjected to molecular characterization and phylogenetic assessment using three marker genes (18S rDNA, matK and rbcL). The results of sequence characterization of the these genes revealed that 18S rRNA gene was highly conserved than *matK* and *rbcL* gene sequences and hence 18Sr RNA gene can be used as better candidates for species delimitation. The phylogenetic analysis of the combined molecular gene sequences also showed that the species belonging to section Enantiophyllum were monophyletic in origin.

Keywords: Molecular characterization, 18S rDNA, *matK*, *rbcL*, maximum parsimony, Bayesian inference

Introduction

The North-eastern region of India forms a distinctive part of the Indo-Burma hotspot which ranks sixth among the 25 biodiversity hotspots of the world and is climatically, ecologically and ethnically very diverse. The region is largely dominated by indigenous groups such as Naga, Khasi, Mizo, Miri, Adi, Aka, Apatan, Maripa, Mushard, Garo, Naga etc., who are socioculturally different and mainly depend on wild edible fruits, roots and tubers etc. for nourishment. As a wild tuber crop, *Dioscorea* species play a prime role in providing food and medicinal requirements for the local communities in this region. Various species of

Dioscorea also serve as food security crop for these local communities. (Anon. 1952). About 50 species of Dioscorea are distributed in India and approximately 28 species (Sharma and Hore 1995) are distributed in North-East India. The identification of these species has been relied on morphological description. Several workers (Knuth 1924; Burkill 1960; Coursey 1967; Ayensu 1972) have classified this genus based on seed morphology, floral characters, underground organs and anatomical characters, yet the systematics of the genus is not completely resolved due to morphological diversity, dioecy and small flowers. Hence, the study of phylogenetic relationships based on morphological characters among Dioscorea species became difficult due to continuous variability which restrict species identification. Phylogenetic assessment based on molecular dataset analysis has provided a clear relationship within the genus. Plastid genome such as rbcL and matK has provided a rich source of phylogenetic tool to unravel the genetic relationships. Nuclear gene sequences such 18S rDNA in particular have proved a good source of phylogenetic information in Dioscoreales (Caddick et al. 2002a). However, 18SrDNA sequences have proven to contain less phylogenetic signal in comparison to plastid DNA due to low sequence divergence (Soltis et al. 1997). Wilkin et al. (2005) successfully reconstructed phylogenetic relationships of 67 Dioscorea taxa of Madagascar based on chloroplast rbcL and matK sequence data and found out that the main old world groups (such as the left twining *D*. sect. Stenophora and the right twining D. sect. Enatiophyllum) were monophyletic. Hsu et al. (2013) with chloroplast trnL-F, matK, rbcL and atpB-rbcL sequence data reconstructed phylogenetic relationship

*Corresponding author's e-mail: nilofersheikh83@gmail.com

Published by the Indian Society of Genetics & Plant Breeding, A-Block, F2, First Floor, NASC Complex, IARI P.O., Pusa Campus, New Delhi 110 012; Online management by www.isgpb.org; indianjournals.com

of 48 Dioscorea species from East and Southeast Asia. The study reflects on *D*. sect. *Combilium* and sect. Shannicorea as closely related sections. In India, Mukherjee and Bhat (2013) studied phylogenetic relationship of wild and cultivated yam species of India and inferred from PCR-RFLP analysis of two cpDNA loci included 25 species of Dioscorea. Similarly, Barman et al. (2018) also studied the phylogenetic assessment of 16 Indian Dioscorea species based on chloroplast gene sequences. But both these studies on Indian Dioscorea species inferred by phylogenetic analysis based on chloroplast sequences were restricted to only half of the species distributed in India. Hence, in the present study an attempt had been made to assess the phylogeny of Dioscorea species of NE India which would be an addition to the previous

phylogenetic studies on the Indian Dioscorea species.

Material and methods

Plant material and taxon sampling

Plant sample of eight species of *Dioscorea* (Fig. 1) *viz.*, *Dioscorea pentaphylla* L., *Dioscorea alata* L., *Dioscorea belophylla* (Prain) Haines, *Dioscorea glabra* Roxb, *Dioscorea pubera* Bl., *Dioscorea oppositifolia* L., *Dioscorea lepcharum* Prain *et* Burk., *Dioscorea bulbifera* L. were collected from North-eastern region of India. The eight species were identified at Botanical Survey of India, Eastern Regional Centre, Shillong. Specimen vouchers (appendix) were deposited at the Herbarium, Botany department, North Eastern Hill University, Shilllong.



Fig. 1. Dioscorea species of North east India

DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

Total genomic DNA was isolated from fresh leaves following Doyle and Doyle (1987) method of DNA extraction with the addition of the saturated phenol extraction step prior to ethanol precipitation. Polymerase chain reaction was used to amplify 18S rDNA, matK and rbcL regions. PCR primers were designed using DS GENE version 1.1 software to amplify these regions. Sequencing was done utilizing these primers (Ns18S-F5'- GCAAGTATGAAC TAATTCGG-3'; Ns18S-R5'-TGATCTATCCCCA TCACG-3'; NSrbcL-F5'GATACTGATATCTTA GCGGCAT-3'; NSrbcL-R5'-CCCGATTAGCTAC TGCACC-3'; NSmatK-F5'-CAGGAGTATATTTA CACAC-3'; NSmatK-R5'-GCAGGTCATTGATACA GAT-3'). DNA amplification was performed in Applied Biosystems® GeneAmp® PCR System 9700. Amplified PCR products were puried and sequence at Bangalore Genei, India and Axygen Scientific Pvt. Ltd. India. The nucleotide sequences for all the genomic regions have been submitted to the Genbank databases (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) (Table 1).

Sequence alignments

Sequences, obtained were subjected to multiple sequence alignment using clustal x program (Thompson et al. 1997) with default setting. A separate alignment matrix for each genomic region was produced. Alignments of all genomic regions were combined to a single nexus file comprising several data partition. Sequences characters such as number of conserved sites, variable sites, parsimony informative sites, indels, GC%, transition and transversion of the three genomic regions (18SrDNA, *matK* and *rbcL*) were calculated (Table 2) by using both MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016) and Seqstate v.1.21 (Muller, 2005).

Phylogeny based on molecular analysis

A phylogenetic tree combining three genomic regions were constructed using MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016). Maximum Parsimony (MP) methods were used to analyse the aligned sequence data matrix of the three genes. In the MP analysis, characters were equally weighted and a heuristic search option with Tree Bisection Regrafting (TBR) branch-swapping and 10 random stepwise additions was used. Bootstrap values for MP analyses were estimated from 1000 replicates with the above heuristic settings. The best fitting substitution model of evolution was selected by the BIC criterion and maximum likelihood ratio test for each region and the combined matrix using jModeltest 0.1 (Posada 2008). The substitution model selected for nuclear 18SrDNA gene is JC+G and for chloroplast matK and rbcL is HKY+G whereas the model selected for combined nuclear and chloroplast dataset (18SrDNA+matK +rbcL) is F18+G. The parameters

Image: Name of the image o	stion	Species	Specimen voucher*	0	ten Bank accession	S
Botryosicyos (Hochst.) Uline Dioscorea pentaphylla L. NEHU-11946 KC921380 KF372562 EnantiophyllumUline Dioscorea alata L. NEHU-11950 KC921381 KF372555 Dioscorea belophylla (Prain) Haines NEHU-11950 KC921384 KF372556 Dioscorea belophylla (Prain) Haines NEHU-11950 KC921384 KF372556 Dioscorea giabra Roxb. NEHU-11937 KC921388 KF372556 Dioscorea pubera Bl. NEHU-11948 KC921383 KF372556 Dioscorea lepcharm Prainet Burk. NEHU-11940 KC921387 KF372550 Opsophyton Uline Dioscorea bulbifera L. NEHU-11942 KC921385 KF372560 Opsophyton Uline Dioscorea bulbifera L. NEHU-11942 KC921385 KF372560				18SrRNA	matK	rbcL
EnantiophyllumUline Dioscorea alata L. NEHU-11944 KC921381 KF372555 Dioscorea belophylla (Prain) Haines NEHU-11950 KC921384 KF372555 Dioscorea belophylla (Prain) Haines NEHU-11950 KC921388 KF372558 Dioscorea giabra Roxb. NEHU-11937 KC921388 KF372556 Dioscorea pubera Bl. NEHU-11948 KC921383 KF372556 Dioscorea pubera Bl. NEHU-11948 KC921383 KF372556 Dioscorea pubera Bl. NEHU-11940 KC921383 KF372556 Opsophyton Uline Dioscorea bubrifera L. NEHU-11942 KC921385 KF372560 Opsophyton Uline Dioscorea bubrifera L. NEHU-11942 KC921382 KF372560 Dioscorea bubrifera L. NEHU-11942 KC921382 KF372560 Marron Dioscorea bubrifera L. NEHU-11942 KC921382 KF372561 Marron Dioscorea bubrifera L. NEHU-11942 KC921382 KF372561 M	ryosicyos (Hochst.) Uline	Dioscorea pentaphylla L.	NEHU-11946	KC921380	KF372562	KF372564
Dioscorea belophyla (Prain) Haines NEHU-11950 KC921384 KF372555 Dioscorea giabra Roxb. NEHU-11937 KC921388 KF372556 Dioscorea giabra Roxb. NEHU-11948 KC921383 KF372556 Dioscorea pubera Bl. NEHU-11948 KC921383 KF372556 Dioscorea pubera Bl. NEHU-11940 KC921387 KF372556 Opsophyton Uline Dioscorea lepcharm Prainet Burk. NEHU-11942 KC921385 KF372560 Opsophyton Uline Dioscorea bulbifera L. NEHU-11942 KC921385 KF372560 Opsophyton Uline Dioscorea bulbifera L. NEHU-11942 KC921385 KF372560 Opsophyton Uline Dioscorea bulbifera L. NEHU-11935 KC921382 KF372561	antiophyllumUline	Dioscorea alata L.	NEHU-11944	KC921381	KF372557	KF372566
Dioscorea giabra Roxb. NEHU-11937 KC921388 KF372558 Dioscorea pubera Bl. NEHU-11948 KC921383 KF372556 Dioscorea pubera Bl. NEHU-11940 KC921387 KF372556 Dioscorea oppositifolia L. NEHU-11940 KC921387 KF372550 Opsophyton Uline Dioscorea lepcharm Prainet Burk. NEHU-11942 KC921385 KF372560 Opsophyton Uline Dioscorea bulbifera L. NEHU-11935 KC921385 KF372560 Opsophyton Uline Dioscorea bulbifera L. NEHU-11935 KC921385 KF372561	7	Dioscorea belophylla (Prain) Haines	NEHU-11950	KC921384	KF372555	KF372568
Dioscorea Dubera BI. NEHU-11948 KC921383 KF372556 Dioscorea oppositifolia L. NEHU-11940 KC921387 KF372550 Dioscorea oppositifolia L. NEHU-11942 KC921385 KF372560 Opsophyton Uline Dioscorea lepcharm Prainet Burk. NEHU-11942 KC921385 KF372560 Opsophyton Uline Dioscorea blifera L. NEHU-11935 KC921382 KF372561 Optorolun Trichonis zevlaricus I NEHU-11935 KC921382 K7372561	7	Dioscorea giabra Roxb.	NEHU-11937	KC921388	KF372558	KF372567
Dioscoreal oppositifolial. NEHU-11940 KC921387 KF372559 Dioscoreal lepcharmn Prainet Burk. NEHU-11942 KC921385 KF372560 Opsophyton Uline Dioscoreal bulbiferal. NEHU-11935 KC921382 KF372561 Outroute Dioscoreal bulbiferal. NEHU-11935 KC921382 KF372561	7	Dioscorea pubera Bl.	NEHU-11948	KC921383	KF372556	KF372563
Dioscoreal lepcharmn Prainet Burk. NEHU-11942 KC921385 KF372560 Opsophyton Uline Dioscoreal bulbiferal L. NEHU-11935 KC921382 KF372561 Outroroun Trichonus zevlanicus I A5309394 AY973845	7	Dioscorea oppositifolia L.	NEHU-11940	KC921387	KF372559	KF3 72569
Opsophyton Uline Dioscorea bulbifera L. NEHU-11935 KC921382 KF372561 Outoroun Trichonus zevlanicus I AY973845	7	Dioscorea lepcharmn Prainet Burk.	NEHU-11942	KC921385	KF372560	KF372570
Outronin Trichonus zevlanicus AY973845	sophyton Uline	Dioscorea bulbifera L.	NEHU-11935	KC921382	KF372561	KF372565
	; duoub	Trichopus zeylanicus L.		AF309394	AY973845	AF3 07477

Genomicregion	Charac- ters	Conserved sites	Variable sites	Parsimony informative sites	Transi- tion	Trans- version	Ts/Tv rate(R)	Indel	GC%
18S rDNA	1414	1305(92.2%)	103 (7.2%)	17(1.20%)	43	57	0.79	10	52.4%
rbcL	1229	1007 (81.93%)	64(5.21%)	48(3.91%)	74	26	1.01	5	43.9%
matK	1256	1001 (79.6%)	91 (7.24%)	41 (3.26%)	54	46	1.08	12	31.9%

Table 2. Comparative sequence characterization of three genomic regions

of substitution model for individual and combined dataset such as Bavesian Information Criterion (BIC). Maximum Likelihood value (InL), nucleotide frequencies, substitution rate matrix and gamma rate distribution were also recorded (Table 3). Bayesian inference (BI) of phylogeny analysis was used since the parsimony trees are less resolved and have weaker overall support. Bayesian inference (BI) analysis were performed using MRBAYES v.3.1.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003) for 1000000 generations applying the default setting {Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC), two runs with four chains each, heating temperature 0.2, saving one tree every 100generations}. The posterior probabilities (calculated with MrBayes) were recorded to represent the support for nodes. All the trees were viewed with program Figtree v1.4.2 (http:// tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

Outgroup selection

Trichopus zeylanicus, a species of the genus *Trichopus* of Dioscoreaceae was selected as the outgroup (Wilkin and Caddick 2000; Wilkin et al. 2005) for the present study. Gene sequences for the three genomes of *T. zeylanicus* was retrieved from Genbank (www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov).

Results and discussion

Molecular characterization

Sequence alignment produced 1414, 1229 and 1256 characters for 18S rDNA, *rbcL* and *matK* respectively. Among all the molecular markers, 18S rDNA is the most conserved as evident from number of conserved sites (1305 out of 1414, 92.2%). The number of parsimony informative sites in 18S rDNA region is about 1.12% which suggested that this region is less evolved. 18SrDNA typically evolves at one-third to one-half the rate of *rbcL*. The *rbcL* region was recorded to have low variable sites (5.21%) and low indels. Higher number of indels was recorded in *matK* followed by 18SrDNA. All markers recorded frequent transition rather than transversions. The percentage of GC content (52.4%) was higher in 18S rDNA region followed



Fig. 2. Single most parsimonious tree obtained from maximum parsimony analysis of combined dataset (18SrDNA, *matK* and *rbcL*). Numbers at the nodes indicates bootstrap values



Fig. 3. 50% majority-rule consensus tree of Bayesian inference (BI) inferred from a combined dataset (18SrDNA, *matK* and *rbcL*). Posterior probablilities are given at the nodes

by GC percentage of 43.9 and 31.9 was recorded for *rbcL* and *matk*, respectively. Sequence characterization of the three genes (18S rRNA, *matK* and *rbcL*) showed that 18S rRNA gene was highly conserved than *matK* and *rbcL* gene sequences. 18Sr RNA gene is proved to be better candidates in Dioscoreales (Caddick et al. 2002a; Merckx et al. 2006) and is mostly used at an interfamilial rather than intergeneric or interspecific level (Hamby and Zimmer 1988; Soltis and Soltis 1998). Sun et al. (2012) regarded *matK* as the best regions for use as DNA barcodes for phylogenetic reconstruction, whereas *rbcL* was the

Dataset	Model	BIC	InL	Gamma		-	Frequency			Subs	tituition m	atrix		
				shape	A	υ	U	F	AC	AG	AT	CG	СТ	GT
18srDNA	JC+G	5375.91	-2633.38	0.2200	0.2441	0.2385	0.2840	0.2334	0.6797	1.5450	1.0725	0.6366	1.2315	1.000
matK	НКҮ+G	11549.73	-5707.13	0.6060	0.3086	0.1639	0.1585	0.3690	0.9938	1.5132	0.7983	0.8468	1.495	1.000
rbcL	НКҮ+G	4152.19	-2009.80	0.6150	0.2678	0.1969	0.2453	0.2900	0.1055	0.1718	0.1015	0.0549	0.1718	0.1718
18srDNA+ matK+ rbcL	F18+G	35384.5	-17618.3	7.3980	0.2723	0.2036	0.2299	0.2942	1.0278	1.1552	1.0644	1.0769	1.1676	1.000
BIC = Bayesian Ir F81 = Felsenste	nformation Cl	riterion; InL=	Maximum Lik	celihood valı	ue; +G = Ga	ımma distrik	oution; JC = .	Jukes-Canto	r; GTR = Ge	eneral Time	Reversible;	HKY = Has	segawa-Kis	hino-Yano;

Table 3. Nucleotide substitution models for respective datasets for Bayesian analysis

Nilofer Sheikh et al.

least suitable marker for *Dioscorea*. But the present study reveals that all the three genes can be used as candidates for species discrimination in *Dioscorea*.

Phylogenetic analysis

The combined Maximum Parsimony (MP) analysis of the three genomic regions resulted in a parsimonious tree (length = 405) with consistency index (CI) = 0.80 and retention index (RI) = 0.78. The most likely combined Bayesian inference trees had a log score of -17618.3. Both the consensus trees obtained by Maximum Parsimony and Bayesian inference analysis forms two major clades (Figs. 2 and 3). CladeA which is subdivided further into 3 subclades, represented by clustering of species such as *D. alata, D. glabra, D. oppositifolia, D. lepcharum, D. pubera* and *D. bellophylla* belonging to the *D.* sect. *Enantiophyllum*. CladeB is represented by two species, *D. bulbifera* (sect. *Opsophyton*) and *D. Pentaphylla* (sect. *Botryosicyos*). CladeB shows close association with the outgroup, *T. zeylanicus*. The combined tree topology inferred by Bayesian analysis shows 100% posterior probability at each node in all the clades. CladeA strongly supports monophyly of *D.* sect. *Enantiophyllum*.

The analyses clearly support a strong relationship between D. bulbifera and D. pentaphylla and monophyly of D. sect. Enantiophyllum. The overall tree topology is similar to previously reported phylogenies on Dioscorea species (Wilkin et al. 2005; Hsu et al. 2013; Mukherjee and Bhat, 2013; Barman et al. 2018). Based on their twining stems, compound leaves, underground organ morphology and seed characters, Prain and Burkill (1936, 1938) divided the Asian Dioscorea species into nine sections (sect. Botryosicyos, Combilium, Enantiophyllum, Lasiophyton, Opsophyton, Paramecocarpa, Shannicorea, Stenocorea and Stenophora) out of which three sections (sect. Enantiophyllum, Botryosicyos and Opsophyton) were included in present analysis. The monophyly of the sect. Enantiophyllum (D. alata, D. glabra, D. pubera, D. belophylla, D. lepcharum and D. oppositifolia) supports the hypothesis of Wilkin et al. (2005) that the main Old World lineages of Dioscorea, such as the righttwining section Enantiophyllum, are monophyletic. D. bulbifera is the main species of D. sect. Opsophyton distributed in the wild state in both Asia and Africa. The formation of many axillary tubers (bulbils) is the distinct characteristic of D. bulbifera but intraspecific classifications are still diverse. Prain and Burkill (1936) have treated the African form with angular bulbils as a single variety, D. Bulbifera var. anthropophagorum, and the Asian form with globular bulbils has been divided into nine varieties according to highly variable characters such as the colour, shape, and dimension of bulbils and leaves. D. pentaphylla, a representative of sect. Botryosicyos of this section show many morphological characters including perennial crown with annual tubers, left twining, usually pubescent and spiny, compound leaves and capsules that are longer than their wide. It is also characterized by one main vein per leaflet. Wilkin et al. (2005) in his treatment considered D. bulbiferais unresolved at the base of a combined compound-leafed clade in the strict consensus tree obtained from matK alone. In the present study both the species are sole representative of each of the single sections and hence in the analysis were grouped in one clade. According to Prain and Burkill (1936), D. pentaphylla and *D. bulbifera* have few characters common such as left twining direction of stem and seeds winged at the base only. Hence there must be possibility for these two species to form group close to each other. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is a first attempt on the use of 18S rDNA along with *Matk* and *rbcL* gene sequences for inferring phylogeny on Indian *Dioscorea* species and also the result of sequence characterization reveals that 18S rDNA gene can be used as a better candidate for species discrimination in the genus *Dioscorea*.

Authors' contribution

Conceptualization of research (NS, YK, AKM); Designing of the experiments (AKM); Contribution of experimental materials (NS); Execution of field/lab experiments and data collection (NS, YK); Analysis of data and interpretation (NS, AKM); Preparation of the manuscript (NS).

Declaration

The authors do not have any conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the Head of Botany Department, NEHU, Shillong for availing necessary facilities and also the Joint Director, BSI, Eastern Regional Centre, Shillong for help and support during the course of the research work.

References

- Anonymous. 1952. The Wealth of India. CSIR, New Delhi, 3: D-E.
- Ayensu E. S. 1972. Dioscoreales, in: Metcalfe C, (eds.), Anatomy of the monocotyledons, vol.6. Oxford, Clarendon Press 1-182.
- Burkill I. H. 1960.The organography and the evolution of the Dioscoreaceae, the family of the yams. Bot. J. Linn. Soc., **56**: 319-412.
- Barman P., Bhat K. V. and Geeta R. 2018. Phylogenetic analysis of Indian Dioscorea and comparison of secondary metabolite content with sampling across the tree. Genet Resour Crop Evol., **65**: 1003-1012.
- Caddick L. R., Rudall P. J., Wilkin P., Hedderson T. A. J. and Chase M. W. 2002a. Phylogenetics of Dioscoreales based on combined analyses of morphological and molecular data. Bot. J. Linn. Soc., **138**: 123-144.
- Coursey D. G. 1967. Yams: An account of the nature, origins, cultivation and utilization of the useful members of the Dioscoreaceae: Tropical Agricultural series. Longmans, green and Co. Ltd., London,

230pp.

- Doyle J. J. and Doyle J. L. 1987. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. Phytochemical Bulletin, **19**: 11-15.
- Hamby K. R. and Zimmer A. A. 1988. Ribosomal RNA as a phylogenetic for inferring phylogeny within the grass family (Poaceae). Plant Syst. Evol., **160**: 29-37.
- Hsu K. M., Tsai J. L., Chen M. Y., Ku H. M. and Liu S. C. 2013. Molecular phylogeny of Dioscorea (Dioscoreaceae) in East and Southeast Asia. Blumea, **58**: 21-27.
- Knuth R. 1924.Dioscoreaceae. In: Engler A (Ed.), Das Pflanzenreich, IV.43: 1-387pp. Engelmann, Leipzig.
- Kumar S., Stecher G. and Tamura K. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analysis Version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol. Bio. Evol., 33(7): 1870-1874.
- Mukherjee P. and Bhat K. V. 2013. Phylogenetic relationship of wild and cultivated yam species (*Dioscorea* spp.) of Indian inferred from PCR-RFLP analysis of two cpDNA loci. Plant Syst. Evol., **299**: 1587-1597.
- Merckx V., Schols P., De Kamer H. M., Maas P., Huysmans S. and Smet E. 2006. Phylogeny and Evolution of Burmanniaceae (Dioscoreales) based on nuclear and mitochondria data. American J. Bot., **93**(11): 1684-1698.
- Muller K. 2005.SeqState: primer design and sequence statistics for phylogenetic DNA data sets. Applied Bioinformatics, **4**: 65-69.
- Prain D. andBurkill I. H. 1936.An account of the genus Dioscorea in the East, Part 1: The species which twine to the left. Annals of the Royal Botanic Gardens, Calcutta 14, 1-210pp. Bengal Government Press, Alipore.
- Prain D. and Burkill I. H. 1938. An account of the genus Dioscorea in the East, Part 2: The species which twine to the right. Annals of the Royal Botanic Gardens, Calcutta 14, 211-528pp. Bengal Government Press, Alipore.
- Posada D. and Crandall K. A. 1998. Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics, **14**: 817-818.
- Ronquist F. and Huelsenbeck J. P. 2003.MrBayes 3: Baysian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics, **19**: 1572-1574.
- Sharma B. D. and Hore D. K. 1995. Genetic Resource of Yams in N. E. India with Special Reference to Garo Hills, Meghalaya. India J. Hill Farm, **8**: 145-151.
- Soltis D. E., Soltis P. S., Nickrent D. L., Johnson L. A., Hahn W. J., Hoot S. B., Sweere J. A., Kuzoff R. K., Kron K. A. and Chase M. W. 1997. Angiosperm phylogeny inferred from 18S ribosomal DNA sequences. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden,

84: 1-49.

- Soltis D. E. and Soltis P. S. 1998. Choosing an approach and an appropriate gene for phylogenetic analyses.
 In: D. E. Soltis, P. S. Soltis, and J. J. Doyle [eds.] Molecular systematics of plants II, DNA sequencing, 1-42pp. Kluwer, New York, USA.
- Sun X. Q., Zhu Y. J., Guo J. L., Peng B., Bai M. M. and Yang Y. Y. 2012. DNA Barcoding the Dioscorea in China, a Vital Group in the Evolution of Monocotyledon: Use of matK Gene for Species Discrimination PLoS ONE, 7(2): e32057. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032057.
- Thompson J. D., Gibson T. J., Plewniak F., Jeanmougin F. and Higgins D. G. 1997. The CLUSTALX window

interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res., **25**: 4876-4882.

- Wilkin P. and Caddick L. R. 2000. Palaeotropical compound-leaved yams (Dioscorea; Dioscoreaceae): monophyly and relationships. In: Wilson KL, Morrison DA (eds), Monocots: systematics and evolution, 497-504pp. CSIRO, Melbourne.
- Wilkin P., Schols P., Chase M. W., Chayamarit K., Furness C. A., Huysmans S., Rakotonasolo F., Smets E. and Thapyai C. 2005.A plastid gene phylogeny of the yam genus, Dioscorea: roots, fruits and Madagascar. Syst. Bot., **30**: 736-749.