Indian J. Genet., 51 (3): 349-351 (1991)

# GENETIC STUDIES OF FLOWERING AND MATURITY IN INTERSPECIFIC CROSSES OF SOYBEAN

## S. S. MALIK AND B. B. SINGH

### Department of Plant Breeding, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology Pantnagar 263145

#### (Received: March 6, 1990; accepted: October 10, 1990)

### ABSTRACT

Interspecific crosses between *Glycine max* (L.) Merr. and *G. formosana* (L.) Merr. showed a wide variability for days to flowering, maturity and duration from flowering to maturity. The results indicate that the character days to flowering was governed by polygenes. Earliness in  $F_2$  may be due to the characters less number of days to flowering, and shorter period from flowering to maturity contributed by *G. max* and *G. formosana*, respectively. Heritability of both these characters is high, showing less environmental influences.

Key words: Soybean, interspecific crosses, earliness, heritability.

Interspecific hybridization has been used for the improvement of crop plants by transferring the desirable genes and chromosomes from the wild to cultivated species [1–3]. Although the previous studies reported heritability of maturity in interspecific crosses, no definite conclusion was drawn regarding expression of the genes responsible for days to flowering and maturity. The present study therefore aims to study the extent and nature of genetic variability and heritability for days to flowering, maturity, and duration of the flowering–maturity period in interspecific crosses between *Glycine max* and *G. formosana*.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Three varieties of cultivated soybean (*G. max*), i.e. UPSM-534, UPSM-533 and Hardee, were crossed with the wild species *G. formosana*. Three F2 populations (UPSM-534 x *G. formosana*, UPSM-533 x *G. formosana*, and Hardee x *G. formosana*) along with the parents were used for the estimation of variability and heritability for days to flowering, days to maturity, and length of flowering–maturity period. Observations were recorded on individual plant basis during kharif 1978. Twenty random plants from the parents and 1000 plants from each

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>Present address: NBPGR, Base Centre, CRRI Campus, Cuttack 753006.

#### S. S. Malik and B. B. Singh

 $F_2$  population per replication in randomized block design were observed for days to flowering, days to maturity and days from flowering to maturity.

Phenotypic variability was studied from the mean, range, and coefficient of variation for the above three characters. Heritability in broad sense ( $H_b^2$ ) for the three characters was estimated using the mean of parental and the F<sub>2</sub> variances. Genotypic variance ( $\sigma_c^2$ ) was calculated by subtracting the average variance of parents ( $\sigma_E^2$ ) from the variance of F<sub>2</sub> ( $\sigma_P^2$ ) as follows:

$$H_b^2 = \frac{\sigma_G^2}{\sigma_P^2} = \frac{\sigma_P^2 - \sigma_E^2}{\sigma_P^2}$$

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The range, coefficient of variability, and heritability of F<sub>2</sub> populations were very high for days to flowering and maturity. Mean number of days to flowering and maturity in F<sub>2</sub> were less than the midparent values (Table 1) due to transgressive segregation towards earliness or due to the partial dominance of earliness. Large portion of F<sub>2</sub> population matured earlier than the parents, although higher limit of F<sub>2</sub> corresponded to the upper limit of the late maturing parent except in the cross Hardee x *G. formosana*. Similar results were reported earlier [1, 3, 4, 5].

Table 1. Range, mean, coefficient of variation (CV), and heritability (H<sup>2</sup><sub>b</sub>) for three characters in soybean

Population	Days to flowering				Days to maturity				Days from flowering to maturity			
	range	mean	C.V.	Hg	range	mean	C.V.	Hg	range	mean	C.V.	Hg
G. formosana	69–77	74.1	1.30		91-104	101.7	0.50	·	23-33	27.6	1.75	
UPSM-534	37-43	40.7	0.93		8996	91.4	0.80		48-57	50. <b>7</b>	1.70	_
F2 (UPSM-534 x G. formosana)	4361	50.4	7.06	0.85	80-104	88.8	5.22	0.88	26–53	38.4	10.96	0.85
UPSM-533	38-45	43.2	2.11		83-89	86.4	0.57	_	40-47	43.1	0.16	·
F2 (UPSM-533 x G. formosana)	4360	51.4	7.16	0.77	79–204	88.8	6.64	0.92	30–38	37.5	10.77	0.91
Hardee	42-47	44.1	0.68		100-110	105.4	0.54	—	5766	61.3	0.99	-
F2 (Hardee x G. formosana)	48-65	53.3	5.07	0.81	85–106	95. <b>9</b>	4.03	0.88	33–50	41.5	8.37	0.84

The pattern for the length of flowering-maturity period was different in the three F<sub>2</sub> populations. In the cross UPSM-534 x G. formosana, the range in F<sub>2</sub> was towards lower side but in UPSM-533 x G. formosana it shifted towards higher side. In the cross Hardee x G. formosana, it was between the parental values. However, the mean values of F<sub>2</sub>

August, 1991]

populations were very close to the midparental values. The heritability was very high in all crosses.

RELATIONSHIP AMONG DAYS TO FLOWERING, MATURITY AND FLOWERING-MATURITY PERIOD

The extreme types were not recovered in F2 despite normal distribution. The data indicate that a large number of genes must be responsible for governing this trait. The mean number of days to maturity in all F2 populations was less than both the parents, except in the cross involving UPSM-533. The  $F_2$  distribution skewed towards early parent due to partial dominance of the genes responsible for earliness. The mean duration from flowering to maturity in all F<sub>2</sub> populations was very close to the midparental values. Although the range was wider as compared to days to flowering in all crosses, the F<sub>2</sub> population was normally distributed and indicated that a large number of genes govern this character, showing no dominance effect. In general, the mean number of days to maturity in most of the F<sub>2</sub> populations was less as compared to either parent. Early maturity is the total result of less number of days to flowering and shorter period from flowering to maturity. This study further suggests that the number of days taken up to flowering and from flowering to maturity are governed by independent sets of genes and various combinations involving these two phases should be possible reasons for giving genotypes with different maturity periods. In case of F<sub>2</sub> segregation in all crosses, early maturity was dominant due to the recombination of genes responsible for fewer days to flowering from G. max and those for shorter flowering-maturity period from G. formosana. It is thus possible to develop very early lines combining these two traits. Similar recombinants were obtained by Nelson [6]. The high heritability values indicate less environmental influence on these characters.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. W. T. Tang and G. Tai. 1962. Studies on the quantitative and qualitative inheritance of an interspecific cross of soybean, *G. max* and *G. formosana*. Bot. Bull. Acad. Sci., **3**: 39–60.
- 2. W. T. Tang and S. L. Li. 1963. Studies on genetic behaviour of both quantitative and qualitative characters in the progeny of an interspecific cross of soybean *G. max* x *G. formosana*. J. Agric, Ass. Chine, New Ser., **42**: 13–25.
- 3. C. E. Caviness. 1969. Heritability of pod dehiscence and its association with some agronomic characters in soybean. Crop Sci., 9: 207–209.
- 4. K. C. Bhardwaj. 1974. M.Sc. Thesis. G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar.
- 5. G. D. Zhang, J. L. Wang and A. X. Meng. 1987. Inheritance of some agronomic characters of interspecific crosses in soybeans. Soybean Genet. Newsl., 14: 93–99.
- L. R. Nelson. 1988. Response to selection for time of flowering in soybean. Crop Sci., 8: 623–626.