Indian J. Genet., 50 (4): 307-309 (1990)

# INTERSPECIFIC HYBRIDIZATION IN THREE SPECIES OF SESAMUM

# AMAL K. BISWAS AND ASHIS K. MITRA

# Cytogenetics Laboratory, Department of Botany, University of Kalyani Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal 741235

#### (Received: April 2, 1988; accepted: September 18, 1989)

# ABSTRACT

Fruit setting was obtained when B-67, a cultivar of Sesamum indicum, was used as female in the crosses with S. mulayanum (2n = 26) and S. laciniatum (2n = 32). The F<sub>1</sub> hybrids of B-67 x S. laciniatum died before flowering, while those of B-67 x S. mulayanum were characterized by pansy violet corolla colour (intermediate between parents), having mostly four univalents at MI (n = 13) and resembling the wild parent in insect (Antigastra catanaulis) resistance, black reticulated seed coat, and violet marking along longitudinal anther wall. Like B-67, seed shape was narrowly ovate. It is indicated that S. indicum and S. mulayanum are closely related and insect resistance of the latter is transferable.

Key words: Sesamum indicum, S. mulayanum, S. laciniatum, interspecific hybridization.

Sesamum indicum L., the cultivated sesame is an important oil plant and every year it suffers considerable yield loss due to attack of the insect Antigastra catanaulis, although resistance to the latter is noted in its wild relatives: S. laciniatum Klein and S. mulayanum Nair [1, 2]. Previously, transfer of this trait has been claimed through the cross S. orientale x S. laciniatum [1], while S. indicum x S. mulayanum cross was sterile [3]. Since resistance to the said insect is lacking in the cultivated varieties, an investigation was undertaken to assess the possibility of incorporation of this trait into the recommended variety B-67 of sesame through interspecific hybridization with S. mulayanum and S. laciniatum.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seed materials of the *S. indicum* cultivar B-67 was obtained from the Pulses and Oilseed Research Station, Berhampore, West Bengal. *S. mulayanum* and *S. laciniatum* were collected from their natural habitats at Kalyani and Mandar Hills, Bihar, respectively.

For hybridization, flowers of the female parent were emasculated in the afternoon and covered with polythene bags. They were pollinated with the desired male parent the

### Amal K. Biswas and Ashis K. Mitra

follwoing morning before 7 A.M. The pollinated flowers were bagged for 2-3 days, after which the bags were removed to record fruit setting. The F<sub>1</sub> plants were raised in the field along with their respective parents. Horticultural colour chart was used for identification of flower colour [4].

Meiotic study was carried out with the flower buds fixed in propionoalcohol (1:3) and smearing the same in 1% propionocarmine solution.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The cultivated variety B-67 of S. indicum (2n = 26) can be easily identified from both the wild species by the light mauvette colour of its corolla. Interspecific crosses between S. indicum (cv. B-67) and the two wild species S. mulayanum (2n = 26) and S. laciniatum (2n = 32), were successful only when B-67 was used as the female parent. This finding indicates that nuclear-cytoplasmic interaction might have played a key role.

| Character  | S. indicum                               | S. mulayanum                | F1 hybrid                |
|--|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Corolla colour   | Lighter than mauvette 537/3 <sup>°</sup> | Violet 36/3                 | Pansy violet 33/3        |
| Colour marking<br>along longitudinal<br>line of anther | No colour<br>marking                     | Methyl violet 39<br>marking | Methyl violet<br>marking |
| Seed coat: colour<br>surface                           | Brown<br>Smooth                          | Blackish<br>Reticulate      | Blackish<br>Reticulate   |
| Seed shape<br>Pollen sterility, %                      | Narrowly ovate<br>25.3                   | Broadly ovate<br>29.3       | Narrowly ovate<br>36.2   |
| Response to A. catanaulis                              | Susceptible                              | Resistant                   | Resistant                |

Table 1. Distinguishing features of S. indicum (cv. B-67), S. mulayanum, and their F1 hybrid

Refers to [4].

Among the 40 crosses made between B-67 and *S. mulayanum*, only 15 fruits (37.5%) set and reached maturity. These fruits contained 192 well-filled seeds, which yielded 28 seedlings (14.58%). The F<sub>1</sub> hybrids showed intermediate corolla colour (pansy violet), but resembled the wild male parent by the presence of methyl violet colour marking along the longitudinal line of dehiscence of anther, black reticulation of seed coat, and resistance to the insect *Antigastra catanaulis* (Table 1). The only resemblance to female parent was in narrowly ovate shape of seed.

Meiotic studies, carried out only on a limited scale due to paucity of flower buds, revealed n = 13 in the gametic complements of hybrid and parents. Frequently, however,

two pairs of chromosomes were found to remain unpaired. The cross S. indicum  $\times$  S. mulayanum was unsuccessful earlier [3].

Cross B-67 x S. *laciniatum* yielded only one fruit with 8 filled seeds, of which 3 died prematurely. Success has been reported in the cross S. *indicum* x S. *laciniatum* earlier [5, 6]. However, only sterile hybrids from the same cross were obtained by others [7].

Failure of fruit setting in the reciprocal crosses between *S. mulayanum* and *S. laciniatum* indicated their distant relationship. On the contrary, both of them showed close relation with the cultivated species. On the basis of high crossability, hybrid performance, and chromosome pairing in F<sub>1</sub>, it is suggested that *S. indicum* is closer to *S. mulayanum* than to *S. laciniatum*. It is, therefore, inferred that insect resistance in *S. mulayanum* can be transferred to cultivated sesame through successful interspecific crosses.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to Pros. S. Dana of the Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya for going through the manuscript and offering valuable suggestions. Thanks are also due to the UGC for financial assistance to the second author.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. S. G. Aiyadurai, M. S. Dorairaj and C. Murugarejendran. 1963. Anthesis and pollination studies in *Sesamum indicum* L. Madras Agric. J., 50: 473–476.
- 2. A. K. Mitra and Amal K. Biswas. 1983. New record of *Sesamum mulayanum* Nair in West Bengal. Sci. Cult., 49: 407–408.
- 3. D. C. Sastri and K. R. Shivanna. 1976. Attempts to overcome interspecific incompatibility in *Sesamum* by using recognition pollen. Ann. Bot. (Lond.), 40: 891-893.
- 4. Anonymous. 1938, 1942. Horticultural Colour Charts I and II. Wilson Colour Ltd., Great Britain. In Collaboration with the Royal Horticultural Society.
- 5. K. Ramanathan. 1950. A note on the interspecific hybridization in *Sesamum*. Madras Agric. J., 37: 397–400.
- 6. M. Subramanian and P. Chandrasekharan. 1977. Studies of the phenotypic characters of the interspecific hybrid *Sesamum indicum* L. (2n = 26) and *S. laciniatum* Klein (2n = 32) and its amphidiploid (2n = 58). Madras Agric. J., 64: 389–391.
- 7. A. Amirtha Devarathinam. 1965. Studies on interspecific hybridization in *Sesamum* with special reference to the hybrid of *S. indicum* L. x *S. laciniatum* Klein and its amphidiploid. Madras Agric. J., **52**: 362.