

Influence of gamete selection for wilt resistance in the F₁ generation on the variability of non-target quantitative traits in F₂ generation of chickpea

A. M. Choukimath and R. L. Ravikumar^{1*}

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad

(Received: December 2010; Revised: April 2011; Accepted: May 2011)

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) despite its broad adaptation, production is restricted by several biotic and abiotic stresses. Among biotic stresses, *Fusarium* wilt caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *ciceris* is the major limiting factor for chickpea productivity. The best strategy to manage this disease is through use of resistant cultivars. Gamete selection is effective technique, which can be easily combined with conventional methods to develop resistant varieties in short time [1-3]. By artificially imposing various selective pressures during the process of gamete formation and fertilization in the F₁ generation, one can increase the frequency of plants with the targeted trait. The application of gamete selection for wilt resistance has increased the frequency of resistant plants in segregating population [1]. Gamete selection may produce resistant plants but there are chances that it may result in low productivity due to linkage drag. The present study was undertaken to analyze effect of gamete selection for wilt resistance on segregation and variability of non-targeted quantitative traits in the F₂ generation.

Two genotypes - Karikadle, a highly susceptible (early wilter) and BG-256 susceptible (late wilter) - were crossed to highly resistant genotype, WR-315 to produce 2 sets of hybrids. The two sets of F₁ plants of each cross were grown during 2008. The plants were closely monitored and at flower bud initiation stage, one set of F₁ plants of each cross were sprayed with a fungal

pathotoxin, fusaric acid (Sigma) at a concentration of 2500 µg/ml [1]. Likewise, another set of F₁ plants were sprayed with water as control. The flowers of both toxin and water sprayed F₁ plants were selfed to generate F₂ seeds. The seeds obtained from toxin treated and control F₁ plants were harvested separately to produce seeds of treated F₂ and control F₂ populations respectively. The F₂ seeds were grown during late *rabi* 2009 at the Agricultural Experimental Station, UAS Dharwad. Each F₂ population was grown in a plot size of 3m x 2m (10 rows of 2 m length) in a single replication. The plants were spaced 30 cm between rows and 10 cm within the row. Each parental line was planted in 3 rows and 2 m length in a continuous block. In total, 92 and 102 plants respectively of treated and control F₂ populations of cross BG-256 x WR-315 and 80 and 64 plants respectively in treated and control F₂ populations of cross Karikadle x WR-315 were used for recording observations on the quantitative traits *viz.*, plant height at harvest (cm), number of branches per plant, number of pods per plant, test weight (g) and seed yield per plant (g).

The mean values of control and treated F₂ populations of respective crosses were compared using t-test. Further the respective control and treated F₂ populations were compared for their distribution using KS-test after calculating frequency distribution.

*Corresponding author's e-mail: ravikumarrl@yahoo.co.in

¹Present address: Department of Plant Biotechnology, College of Agriculture, UAS, GKVK, Bangalore 560 065

Published by Indian Society of Genetics & Plant Breeding, F2, First Floor, NASC Complex, PB#11312, IARI, New Delhi 110 012
Online management by indianjournals.com

The control and treated F_2 populations were assessed for wilt reaction using linked markers - CS 27₇₀₀ linked to H_1 and A07₄₁₇ linked to H_2 loci of wilt resistance. It was observed that the treated populations showed deviation from expected monogenic and digenic ratios producing more number of resistant plants. However, the control F_2 produced the expected ratios for the linked markers confirming the earlier reports [1]. The comparison of mean values of control and treated F_2 populations revealed that plant height and test weight did not differ significantly in both the crosses (Table 1 and 2). On the other hand, the number of pods per plant showed significant difference between control and treated F_2 populations. In both the crosses, the control F_2 population had a higher mean number of pods per plant compared to corresponding treated F_2 population. In the earlier studies also gamete selection for disease resistance has influenced other quantitative traits in sunflower [2]. Similarly in the cross Karikadle x WR-315 the seed yield per plant and number of branches per plant also recorded significant difference between control and treated F_2 populations. However there was no improvement in the treated F_2 for these traits due to gamete selection for wilt resistance. Similar non-response to gamete selection for quantitative traits has been reported [4, 5]. In fact gamete selection for wilt resistance resulted in reduction of mean performance of several quantitative traits. In general, the treated F_2 populations of both the crosses produced lower range for all the traits compared to control F_2 populations. The lower range in treated F_2 populations can be expected

as the selection was made for wilt resistance. *In vivo* selection of pollen using fusaric acid has lead to elimination of susceptible pollen grains. Such selection gives advantage only to pollen grains with resistant alleles there by reducing the variation in pollen grains resulting in reduction of variation for non-targeted traits in the treated F_2 population.

Along with range, genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variations (PCV) were also computed to compare variability of different characters in control and treated F_2 populations. Knowledge on the heritability and genetic advance are important to a plant breeder since it indicates the possibility and extent to which improvement is possible through selection. The relative amount of heritable portion was assessed in the present study which revealed that traits test weight, seed yield per plant and plant height showed higher heritability in both control and treated F_1 populations of both the crosses. The gamete selection in general did not show any effect on the heritability and genetic advance of all the traits studied in both the crosses.

The KS-test showed differences between control and treated F_2 populations in the cross BG-256 x WR-315 (Table 3). On the other hand, the control and treated populations did not differ for any of the traits studied in the other cross. Results indicated that probably gamete selection for wilt resistance in the F_1 for wilt resistance not only influenced the segregation of wilt resistance but also other characters. In chickpea there are no

Table 1. The mean, range and components of variance of different characters in control and treated F_2 populations for cross BG-256 x WR-M5

Trait	Population	Mean	Range	GCV	PCV	h^2	GA
1. Plant height (cm)	Control F_2	35.73	26-48	9.18	14.56	39.8	4.26
	Treated F_2	39.01	30-55	8.94	14.45	38.2	4.07
	t-test	NS					
2. Number of branches	Control F_2	3.44	2-10	22.61	43.92	26.5	0.83
	Treated F_1	2.59	2-4	24.19	44.45	29.6	0.94
	t-test	NS					
2. Number of pods per plant	Control F_2	23.52	3-51	46.53	67.00	48.2	15.66
	Treated F_2	22.92	1-56	47.79	69.15	47.8	15.57
	t-test	S					
4. Test weight (g)	Control F_2	16.46	10.00-32.90	19.56	21.96	79.3	5.91
	Treated F_2	16.05	10.20-23.35	17.29	20.18	73.4	4.99
	t-test	NS					
5. Seed yield per plant (g)	Control F_2	3.58	1.06-13.77	50.71	55.70	82.9	3.41
	Treated F_2	3.37	0.84-8.46	51.95	57.15	82.6	3.47
	t-test	NS					

NS=Non Significant S= Significant

Table 2. The mean, range and components of variance of different characters in control and treated F₂ populations for cross Karikadle x WR-315

Trait	Population	Mean	Range	GCV	PCV	h ²	GA
1. Plant height (cm)	Control F ₂	32.96	21-41	11.86	14.78	64.4	7.12
	Treated F ₂	32.29	25-39.2	9.88	14.56	46.0	4.97
	t-test	NS					
2. Number of branches	Control F ₂	2.64	2-4	19.93	41.83	22.7	0.75
	Treated F ₂	2.42	2-7	22.78	43.65	27.2	0.88
	t-test	S					
3. Number of pods per plant	Control F ₂	23.31	2-68	39.05	64.97	36.1	11.54
	Treated F ₂	16.00	2-50	47.16	68.62	47.2	15.83
	t-test	S					
4. Test weight (g)	Control F ₂	13.01	10.00-18.00	18.67	21.22	79.3	5.91
	Treated F ₂	13.06	10.15-18.20	17.29	20.45	73.4	4.99
	t-test	NS					
5. Seed yield per plant (g)	Control F ₂	2.41	0.77-14.80	46.98	54.15	75.3	2.95
	Treated F ₂	1.64	0.78-11.20	53.47	58.76	82.8	3.62
	t-test	S					

NS = Non Significant; S = Significant

Table 3. Comparison of control and treated F₂ populations of chickpea for five quantitative traits using KS-test

Trait	Karikadle x WR-315	BG-256x WR-315
1. Plant height	D=0.025	D=0.283*
2. Number of branches per plant	D=0.012	D=0.108*
3. Number of pods per plant	D=0.000	D=0.040*
4. Test weight	D=0.053	D=0.028*
5. Seed yield per plant	D=0.012	D=0.074*

*indicate significant difference between control and treated F₂ populations

studies on the association of wilt resistance with other quantitative studies to draw any valid conclusions. The results are based on only a limited number of plants and require validation on larger population size and more number of crosses to draw any valid reliable conclusions on the effect of gamete selection on the segregation non-targeted traits.

Acknowledgement

The authors express thanks to DST for funding this research work.

References

1. **Hormaza H. and Herrero M.** 1996. Male gametophytic selection as a plant breeding tool. *Scientia of Horticulture*, **65**: 321-333.
2. **Shobha Rani T. and Ravikumar R. L.** 2006. Sporophytic and gametophytic selection for improvement of partial resistance to *Alternaria* leaf blight in sunflower (*Helianthus annuum* L.). *Euphytica*, **147**: 421-431.
3. **Ravikumar R. L., Patil B. S., Soregaon C. D. and Hedge S. G.** 2007. Genetic evidence for gametophytic selection of wilt resistance alleles in chickpea. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **114**: 619-625.
4. **Snow A.** 1990. Effects of pollen load size and number of donors on sporophyte fitness in wild radish (*Raphanus raphanistrum*). *American Naturalist*, **136**: 742-758.
5. **Lankinen A., Johanne M. and Scott W.** 2009. Pollen-tube growth rates in *Collinsia heterophylla* (Plantaginaceae): one-donor crosses reveal heritability but no effect on sporophytic-offspring fitness. *Annals of Bot.*, **103**: 941-950.